## 535. INEQUALITIES INVOLVING THE AREA OF A QUADRILATERAL INSCRIBED IN A CONVEX QUADRILATERAL*

M. J. Pelling

1. Let $P Q R S$ be inscribed in the convex quadrilateral $A B C D$ with $P$ on $A B$, $Q$ on $B C$ etc, and let $A P / P B=p, B Q / Q C=q$ etc. It is assumed no two vertices coincide. The area of a convex polygon with vertices $P_{1}, P_{2}, \ldots, P_{n}$ will be denoted $\left|P_{1} P_{2} \cdots P_{n}\right|$. Let $|D A B|=A_{1},|A B C|=A_{2},|B C D|=A_{3},|C D A|=A_{4}$. Then,

$$
|S A P|=\frac{p}{p+1}|S A B|=\frac{p A_{i}}{(1+p)(1+s)} .
$$

Since $|A B C D|=\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{3}+A_{4}\right)$ it follows,

$$
V \equiv|P Q R S|=\sum\left(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{p}{(1+p)(1+s)}\right) A_{1} \equiv f_{1} A_{1}+f_{2} A_{2}+f_{3} A_{3}+f_{4} A_{4}
$$

where the coefficients $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{4}$ depend only on the ratios $p, q, r, s$.
Theorem 1. $V \equiv|P Q R S|$ satisfies the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& V \leqq\left[1+\frac{(1-p r)(1-q s)}{\prod(1+p)}\right] \max \left(A_{i}\right) \equiv\left[1+\left(1-p_{1}-r_{1}\right)\left(1-q_{1}-s_{1}\right)\right] \max \left(A_{i}\right),  \tag{1}\\
& V \geqq\left[1+\frac{(1-p r)(1-q s)}{\prod(1+p)}\right] \min \left(A_{i}\right) \equiv\left[1+\left(1-p_{1}-r_{1}\right)\left(1-q_{1}-s_{1}\right)\right] \min \left(A_{i}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $p_{1}=p /(1+p)=A P / A B$ etc.
Proof. First, the coefficients $f_{i}$ have the property that $f_{i}+f_{j}>0$ for $i \in\{1,3\}$ and $j \in\{2,4\}$. For since $f_{1}=\frac{1}{2}-p /(1+p)(1+s)$ and $f_{2}=\frac{1}{2}-q /(1+q)(1+p)$ we have $f_{1}+f_{2}=(1+s+p s+p q s) /(1+p)(1+q)(1+s)>0$ and the other cases follow similarly.

Suppose now that $p, q, r, s$ are fixed, that $\max \left(A_{i}\right)=k$, and that $V$ is maximised for $A_{i}$ subject only to this condition. We show that then all the $A_{i}$ must be equal to $k$. Obviously one must be, say $A_{4}$. If $A_{2}<k$ then since geometrically $A_{1}+A_{3}=A_{2}+A_{4}$ one of $A_{1}, A_{3}$ is less than $k$, say $A_{1}<k$. But $f_{1}+f_{2}>0$ so that $V$ could be increased if $A_{1}, A_{2}$ were replaced by $A_{1}+x, A_{2}+x$, for a suitably small $x>0$. So $A_{2}=k$ which implies $A_{1}=A_{3}=k$.

[^0]Thus $V$ is maximised only when $A_{1}=A_{2}=A_{3}=A_{4}=k$ so that

$$
V=\left(f_{1}+f_{2}+f_{3}+f_{4}\right) k
$$

A computation shows $\sum f_{i}=1+(1-p r)(1-q s) / \Pi(1+p)$ whence (1) follows. (2) can be proved similarly by minimising $V$ subject to $\min \left(A_{i}\right)=k$ and one finds again that $A_{1}=\cdots=A_{4}=k$. Q.E.D.

Corollary (a). Equality holds in (1) or (2) if and only if all $A_{i}$ are equal i.e. if and only if $A B C D$ is a parallelogram.

Corollary (b). From (1) it follows that if pqrs $=1$ then $V \leqq \max \left(A_{i}\right)$ with equality if and only if $A B C D$ is a parallelogram and $p r=q s=1$ and from (2) if $p r=q s$ then $V \geqq \min \left(A_{i}\right)$ with equality if and only if $A B C D$ is a parallelogram and $p r=q s=1$. The condition pqrs $=1$ can be expressed geometricaly a simple application of Menelaus' theorem shews it is equivalent to $S P, R Q$ meeting on $D B$ (or equally $P Q, S R$ meeting on $A C$ ).

## 2. The plane section of largest area of a tetrahedron

Several proofs have been published of the following theorem ([1], [2], [3]) but a proof based on the corollary to (1) above appears to be new.

Theorem 2. The plane section of largest area of a tetrahedron is a face.
Proof. Let the tetrahedren be $A B C D$ with largest face area $f$ and let $W$ be a plane section, of area $|W|$. If $W$ is triangular and not a face then $|W|$ could be increased by moving a vertex of $W$ along an edge of $A B C D$ until it coincided with a vertex of the latter. Thus $W$ must already be a face.

If $W$ is quadrilateral let it meet $A B$ in $P, B C$ in $Q, C D$ in $R, D A$ in $S$ and let $A P / P B=p \cdots D S / S A=s$. Let $A B C D$ be projected perpendicularly onto $W$, into $A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime} D^{\prime}$. The inequality

$$
\begin{align*}
|W| & <\max \left(\left|A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}\right|,\left|B^{\prime} C^{\prime} D^{\prime}\right|,\left|C^{\prime} D^{\prime} A^{\prime}\right|,\left|D^{\prime} A^{\prime} B^{\prime}\right|\right)  \tag{3}\\
& \leqq \max (|A B C|, \ldots,|D A B|)=f
\end{align*}
$$

is easily seen to hold in all cases of the resulting configuration except possibly in the case when $A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime} D^{\prime}$ (in that order) forms a convex quadrilateral. In that case we have by similar triangles that $p=A P / P B=A^{\prime} P / P B^{\prime}=A A^{\prime} \mid B B^{\prime}$ so that pqrs $=1$ and then (3) follows when $\left|A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime}\right|, \ldots,\left|D^{\prime} A^{\prime} B^{\prime}\right|$ are not all equal by applying corollary (b) to theorem 1 to the quadrilateral $P Q R S$ inscribed in $A^{\prime} B^{\prime} C^{\prime} D^{\prime}$. Since one of the faces of $A B C D$ must have larger area than its projection it follows $|W|<f$ in all cases. Q.E.D.

The analogue of theorem 2 is true in 4 dimensions ([3]) although false for higher dimensions ([3], [4]) and analogously to the inequality used in the proof above there is an inequality in 3-dimensions for a triangular prism inscribed in a triangular faced hexahedron. This configuration can arise when one projects a 4 -simplex into a solid section of it. More precisely let XYZTU be the hexahedron, with faces $T X Y, T Y Z, T Z X, U X Y, U Y Z, U Z X$ and let $A B C D E F$ be the prism with end faces $A B C, D E F$ where $A, B, C, D, E, F$ lie
respectivly on $U X, U Y, U Z, T X, T Y, T Z$. Let $U A / A X=a, U B / B Y=b, U C / C Z=c$, $X D / D T=d, Y E / E T=e, Z F / F T=f$ and suppose that $a d=b e=c f$ (as must in general be so if $E D A B$ etc are to be complanar). Let $V=|A B C D E F|, V_{1}=|U Y Z T|$, $V_{2}=|U Z X T|, V_{3}=|U X Y T|, V_{4}=|U X Y Z|, V_{5}=|T X Y Z|$. Then $V<\max \left(V_{i}\right)$. This can be proved by the same kind of method as was used in proving theorem 1.

Note. The author is grateful for several remarks of Prof. O. Bottema which have been incorporated into the proof of Theorem 1.
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