

361. A NOTE ON SYMMETRIC FORMS*

K. V. Menon

Let p_1, \dots, p_m denote m -positive real numbers and p denote the smallest of these m -positive real numbers. If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m$ are non-negative real numbers and

$$(1.1) \quad H_r(p_1, \dots, p_m) = \sum \binom{p_1+i_1-1}{i_1} \dots \binom{p_m+i_m-1}{i_m} \alpha_1^{i_1} \dots \alpha_m^{i_m} \\ (i_1 + \dots + i_m = r),$$

$$(1.2) \quad E_r(p_1, \dots, p_m) = \sum \binom{p_1}{i_1} \dots \binom{p_m}{i_m} \alpha_1^{i_1} \dots \alpha_m^{i_m} \quad (i_1 + \dots + i_m = r),$$

then in [1], it is proved that

$$(1.3) \quad \{H_r(p_1, \dots, p_m)\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \geq \{H_{r+1}(p_1, \dots, p_m)\}^{\frac{1}{r+1}}$$

where $p_i \geq 1$ for all i , and

$$(1.4) \quad \{E_r(p_1, \dots, p_m)\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \geq \{E_{r+1}(p_1, \dots, p_m)\}^{\frac{1}{r+1}}$$

where $r < p + 1$, p not integral.

In this paper we prove that the inequalities (1.3) and (1.4) are satisfied by a more general type of non-symmetric functions which are defined in the following way.

$$(1.5) \quad H_r(q; p_1, \dots, p_m) = \sum \left[\begin{matrix} p_1+i_1-1 \\ i_1 \end{matrix} \right] \dots \left[\begin{matrix} p_m+i_m-1 \\ i_m \end{matrix} \right] \alpha_1^{i_1} \dots \alpha_m^{i_m} \\ (i_1 + \dots + i_m = r),$$

$$(1.6) \quad E_r(q; p_1, \dots, p_m) = \sum \left[\begin{matrix} p_1 \\ i_1 \end{matrix} \right] \dots \left[\begin{matrix} p_m \\ i_m \end{matrix} \right] \alpha_1^{i_1} \dots \alpha_m^{i_m} \quad (i_1 + \dots + i_m = r),$$

where $\left[\begin{matrix} p \\ k \end{matrix} \right]$ denote the q -binomial coefficient.

The q -binomial coefficients are defined by

$$\left[\begin{matrix} p \\ k \end{matrix} \right] = \frac{(1-q^p) \dots (1-q^{p-k+1})}{(1-q) \dots (1-q^k)}, \quad \left[\begin{matrix} p \\ k \end{matrix} \right] = 0 \quad (k < 0) \quad \text{and} \quad \left[\begin{matrix} p \\ 0 \end{matrix} \right] = 1.$$

* Presented April 14, 1971 by D. S. MITRINOVIĆ.

We note that when q tends to one, then

$$(1.7) \quad H_r(1; p_1, \dots, p_m) = H_r(p_1, \dots, p_m),$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad E_r(1; p_1, \dots, p_m) = E_r(p_1, \dots, p_m)$$

also $H_r(0; p_1, \dots, p_m)$ is the complete symmetric function and $E_r(0; p_1, \dots, p_m)$ is the elementary symmetric function. In [1], the author proved inequalities (1.3) and (1.4) by making use of EULER'S theorem on homogeneous functions. The same method cannot be applied here.

Definition. A sequence $\{a_r\}$ is called a logarithmically concave sequence if

$$a_r^2 \geq a_{r-1} a_{r+1}.$$

For a logarithmically concave sequence the following theorem is proved in [2]

Theorem A. If $\{p_r\}$ and $\{q_r\}$ are two positive logarithmically concave sequences with $p_0 = q_0 = 1$, then the sequence $\{W_r\}$ is also logarithmically concave where W_r is given by the formal power series

$$\left(\sum p_r x^r\right) \left(\sum q_r x^r\right) = \left(\sum w_r x^r\right).$$

Theorem 1.

$$\{H_r(q; p_1, \dots, p_m)\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \geq \{H_{r+1}(q; p_1, \dots, p_m)\}^{\frac{1}{r+1}}$$

where $p_i \geq 1$, for all i and $(0 \leq q < +\infty)$. The inequality is strict unless $p_1 = \dots = p_m = 1$, $q \rightarrow 1$, and $m = 1$.

Proof. We first prove that the sequence

$$(2.1) \quad \left\{ \left[\begin{matrix} p_t + i_t - 1 \\ i_t \end{matrix} \right] \alpha_t^{i_t} \right\}$$

is a positive logarithmically concave sequence for all $t = 1, \dots, m$.

To prove this it is enough if we prove that

$$(2.2) \quad \left[\begin{matrix} p_t + i_t - 1 \\ i_t \end{matrix} \right]^2 - \left[\begin{matrix} p_t + i_t - 2 \\ i_t \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} p_t + i_t \\ i_t \end{matrix} \right] \geq 0.$$

Now

$$\left[\begin{matrix} p_t + i_t - 1 \\ i_t \end{matrix} \right]^2 - \left[\begin{matrix} p_t + i_t - 2 \\ i_t \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} p_t + i_t \\ i_t \end{matrix} \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} p_t + i_t - 2 \\ i_t - 1 \end{matrix} \right]^2 \left(\frac{1 - q^{p_t + i_t - 1}}{1 - q^{i_t}} \right) \left\{ \frac{1 - q^{p_t + i_t - 1}}{1 - q^{i_t}} - \frac{1 - q^{p_t + i_t}}{1 - q^{i_t + 1}} \right\}.$$

Hence

$$\left[\begin{matrix} p_t + i_t - 1 \\ i_t \end{matrix} \right]^2 - \left[\begin{matrix} p_t + i_t - 2 \\ i_t \end{matrix} \right] \left[\begin{matrix} p_t + i_t \\ i_t \end{matrix} \right] = \left[\begin{matrix} p_t + i_t - 2 \\ i_t \end{matrix} \right]^2 \frac{1 - q^{p_t + i_t - 1}}{(1 - q^{i_t})^2 (1 - q^{i_t + 1})} \{(1 - q)(q^{i_t} - q^{p_t + i_t - 1})\}.$$

Since $p_t \geq 1$, we find that (2.2) is true for all $t = 1, \dots, m$. Now applying theorem A we find that

$$(2.3) \quad \{H_r(q; p_1, \dots, p_m)\}^2 \geq H_{r-1}(q; p_1, \dots, p_m) H_{r+1}(q; p_1, \dots, p_m).$$

Now the theorem can be deduced from (2.2) as in [3].

Theorem 2.

$$\{E_r(q; p_1, \dots, p_m)\}^{\frac{1}{r}} \geq \{E_{r+1}(q; p_1, \dots, p_m)\}^{\frac{1}{r+1}}$$

where $p+1 > r$ and $0 \leq q < +\infty$. The inequality is strict unless $p_1 = \dots = p_m = 1$ and $m = 1$.

Proof. Same as theorem 1.

REFERENCES

1. K. V. MENON: *Symmetric Forms*. Canad. Math. Bull. **13** (1970), 83—87.
2. K. V. MENON: *On the Convolution of logarithmically Concave sequences*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (1969), 439—441.
3. E. F. BECKENBACH and R. BELLMAN: *Inequalities*. Berlin — Heidelberg — New York 1965.

Department of Mathematics
Dalhousie University
Halifax, N. S., Canada