

309. AN INEQUALITY FOR CONVEX FUNCTIONS*

Petar M. Vasić and Radovan R. Janić

It is proved that under the conditions 1°—3°, for each functions f convex on $I = [a, b']$, the inequality (1) holds.

Theorem. If $x_i \in [a, b] = I$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+1$) and p_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+1$) are real numbers such that for every $k = 1, \dots, n$:

$$1^\circ \quad p_1 > 0, p_{2k} \leq 0, p_{2k} + p_{2k+1} \leq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{2k} p_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} p_i > 0;$$

$$2^\circ \quad x_{2k} \leq x_{2k+1};$$

$$3^\circ \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2k} p_i (x_i - x_{2k+1}) \geq 0,$$

then for any function f convex on $I' = [a, b']$, where

$$b' = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left(b, \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} p_i} \right)$$

the following inequality

$$(1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} p_i f(x_i) \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} p_i \right) f \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} p_i} \right)$$

is valid.

Inequality (1) is, in fact, the opposite inequality to JENSEN's inequality.

Remark. The formulation of the Theorem can be simplified if we consider the interval $[a, +\infty)$ instead of the interval $[a, b]$ because in this case we have $I = I'$.

* Presented April 23, 1970 by D. S. MITRINOVIĆ and P. R. BEESACK (Canada).

In order to prove this Theorem we shall use the following:

Lemma. If f is a convex function on I and if $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3$ ($a_1, a_2, a_3 \in I$), $c_1 + c_2 + c_3 > 0$, $c_1 + c_2 \geq 0$, $c_2 + c_3 \geq 0$, and $c_1 \geq 0$, $c_3 \geq 0$, then

$$(2) \quad c_1 f(a_1) + c_2 f(a_2) + c_3 f(a_3) \geq (c_1 + c_2 + c_3) f\left(\frac{c_1 a_1 + c_2 a_2 + c_3 a_3}{c_1 + c_2 + c_3}\right).$$

Proof of the Lemma. This is, in fact, the particular case (for $n=3$) of STEFFENSEN's inequality (see: [1], or [2] pp. 107—119).

Proof of the Theorem. We shall use mathematical induction. If we put

$$c_1 = -p_2, \quad c_2 = -p_3, \quad c_3 = p_1 + p_2 + p_3,$$

$$a_1 = x_2, \quad a_2 = x_3, \quad a_3 = \frac{p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 + p_3 x_3}{p_1 + p_2 + p_3},$$

where $p_1, p_2, p_3, x_1, x_2, x_3$, are real numbers such that

$$p_1 > 0, \quad p_2 \leq 0, \quad p_2 + p_3 \leq 0, \quad p_1 + p_2 \geq 0, \quad p_1 + p_2 + p_3 > 0, \quad x_2 \leq x_3,$$

$$p_1(x_1 - x_3) + p_2(x_2 - x_3) \geq 0,$$

the conditions for the application of the above Lemma are fulfilled. Then, the inequality (2) becomes

$$(3) \quad p_1 f(x_1) + p_2 f(x_2) + p_3 f(x_3) \leq (p_1 + p_2 + p_3) f\left(\frac{p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 + p_3 x_3}{p_1 + p_2 + p_3}\right).$$

This is the inequality (1) for $n=1$.

Suppose that this Theorem holds for any $n-1$ and suppose that the conditions of Theorem are fulfilled for n . Then the following inequality

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} p_i f(x_i) + p_{2n} f(x_{2n}) + p_{2n+1} f(x_{2n+1}) \\ \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} p_i \right) f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} p_i} \right) + p_{2n} f(x_{2n}) + p_{2n+1} f(x_{2n+1})$$

also holds.

Putting

$$P_1 = \sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} p_i, \quad P_2 = p_{2n}, \quad P_3 = p_{2n+1}, \quad X_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} p_i}, \quad X_2 = x_{2n}, \quad X_3 = x_{2n+1},$$

then since, by hypothesis, the conditions 1°—3° are satisfied for n , we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} p_i > 0, \quad p_{2n} \leq 0, \quad p_{2n} + p_{2n+1} \leq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2n} p_i \geq 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} p_i > 0, \quad x_{2n} \leq x_{2n+1}, \\ \sum_{i=1}^{2n} p_i (x_i - x_{2n+1}) \geq 0.$$

As the conditions for applying the inequality (3) (with P_k instead of p_k and X_k instead of x_k) are satisfied, the same may be applied on the right-hand side of the inequality (4), so that we obtain

$$(5) \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} p_i \right) f \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{2n-1} p_i} \right) + p_{2n} f(x_{2n}) + p_{2n+1} f(x_{2n+1}) \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} p_i f \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} p_i} \right).$$

On the basis of (4) and (5) we conclude that the result is valid for n , if it holds for $n-1$, completing the induction and the proof of the theorem.

If $f(x) = x^2$, and

$$(6) \quad x_{2k} \leq x_{2k-1}, \quad x_{2k} \leq x_{2k+1} \quad (k = 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$p_i = (-1)^{i-1} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n+1),$$

the conditions for the applications of the Theorem are fulfilled, so that the inequality

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} (-1)^{k-1} x_k \right)^2 \geq \sum_{k=1}^{2n+1} (-1)^{k-1} x_k^2$$

holds.

This inequality was proved by Z. OPIAL (see [3], or [2] p. 351).

The hypotheses on x_i can be improved somewhat. Namely, instead of the assumptions (6) one can use the weaker assumptions

$$(7) \quad \sum_{j=1}^k (x_{2j-1} - x_{2j}) \geq 0, \quad x_{2k} \leq x_{2k+1} \quad (k = 1, \dots, n).$$

Obviously (6) implies (7), and it is easy to verify that (7) implies 3°. This is a slight improvement of Z. OPIAL's result.

* * *

The authors wish to express their gratitude to Prof. P. R. BEESACK who has been kind enough to read this article in manuscript and to give a number of remarks and suggestions which have improved the final text.

REFERENCES

1. J. F. STEFFENSEN: *On certain inequalities and methods of approximation*. J. Institute Actuaries **51** (1919), 274—297.
2. D. S. MITRINOVIĆ: *Analytic Inequalities*. (Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften Bd. 165), Berlin—Heidelberg—New York 1970.
3. Z. OPJAL: *Sur une inégalité*. Ann. Polon. Math. **8** (1960), 29—32.

Katedra za matematiku
Elektrotehnički fakultet,
Beograd, Jugoslavija