

280. ON A PROPERTY OF SOME METHODS OF SUMMABILITY*

Julian Musielak and Aleksander Waszak

1. Let $\{\mu_\tau\}$, where $\tau \in T$, be a family of nonnegative measures on a σ -algebra \mathfrak{G} of subsets of an abstract set E . In [4], the notion of equisplittability of this family was introduced and investigated. Here, we shall consider topologically equisplittable families of measures, taking any $\tau_0 \in T$ and supposing $T_0 = T \cup \{\tau_0\}$ to be a topological space.

The family $\{\mu_\tau\}$ will be called topologically equisplittable in T_0 , if there exists $\eta > 0$ such that for any sequence of numbers $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$ satisfying the inequalities $\varepsilon_k < \eta$, $\varepsilon_{k+1}/\varepsilon_k < \frac{1}{2}$ for all k , there exist constants $M > \delta > 0$ and a sequence of pairwise disjoint sets $A_k \in \mathfrak{G}$ for which

$$(1) \quad \delta \varepsilon_k < \overline{\lim}_{\tau \rightarrow \tau_0} \mu_\tau A_k < M \varepsilon_k.$$

Here, $\overline{\lim}$ is defined by

$$\overline{\lim}_{\tau \rightarrow \tau_0} f(\tau) = \inf_U \sup_{\tau \in U \setminus \{\tau_0\}} f(\tau),$$

where U runs over the set of all neighbourhoods of τ_0 in T_0 , and $f(\tau)$ is an extended real-valued function on T . It is easily seen that if we take the coarsest topology in T_0 , then $\overline{\lim}_{\tau \rightarrow \tau_0} f(\tau) = \sup_{\tau \in T} f(\tau)$, and topological equisplittability of $\{\mu_\tau\}$ in T_0 is equivalent to equisplittability of $\{\mu_\tau\}$ in the sense of [4].

2. Let $a(t, \tau) \geq 0$ be a function on $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle \times \langle \tau^*, \infty \rangle$, LEBESGUE measurable in the variable t for every $\tau \geq \tau^*$. As \mathfrak{G} we take the σ -algebra of all LEBESGUE measurable subsets of $E = \langle t_0, \infty \rangle$, and we define $T = \langle \tau^*, \infty \rangle$. If

$$(2) \quad \mu_\tau A = \int_A a(t, \tau) dt$$

for each $A \in \mathfrak{G}$, then $\{\mu_\tau\}$, $\tau \geq \tau^*$, is a family of measures in \mathfrak{G} . We put $\tau_0 = \infty$, and we define T_0 as the compactification of T by the point τ_0 .

Let $\{a_n\}$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, be an increasing sequence of numbers such that $a_1 = t_0$ and $a_{n+1} - a_n \geq 2\eta$, for an $\eta > 0$ and $n = 1, 2, \dots$. The sequence of intervals $I_n = \langle a_n, a_{n+1} \rangle$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, will be called a partition of $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$, of diameter not less than 2η .

* Presented September 5, 1969 by D. S. MITRINOVIĆ.

Theorem 1. Let us suppose there exist an $\eta > 0$, a partition $I_n = \langle a_n, a_{n+1} \rangle$ of $\langle t_0, \infty \rangle$ of diameter not less than 2η , and numbers $M > \delta > 0$ such that for any ε , $0 < \varepsilon < \eta$, there exists ϑ , $0 < \vartheta < \varepsilon$, satisfying the following condition: for each sequence of intervals $\langle a_n, \beta_n \rangle \subset I_n$, $\beta_n - a_n = \vartheta(a_{n+1} - a_n)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, there holds

$$\delta \varepsilon \leq \overline{\lim}_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \int_A a(t, \tau) dt < M \varepsilon,$$

where $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle a_n, \beta_n \rangle$. Then the family of measures $\{\mu_\tau\}$ defined by (2) is topologically equisplittable in T_0 .

Proof. Let a sequence $\varepsilon_k \downarrow 0$, $\varepsilon_k < \eta$, $\varepsilon_{k+1}/\varepsilon_k < \frac{1}{2}$ be given. We take $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_1$, and we choose $\alpha_n^1 = a_n$, $\beta_n^1 = (1 - \vartheta_1)a_n + \vartheta_1 a_{n+1}$, where ϑ_1 corresponds to ε_1 . By the assumption, the set $A_1 = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \alpha_n^1, \beta_n^1 \rangle$ satisfies the inequalities (1) for $k = 1$. Now, let us suppose the sets $A_k = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \alpha_n^k, \beta_n^k \rangle$ are defined for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ in such a manner that $\beta_n^k = \alpha_n^{k+1}$, $\beta_n^k - \alpha_n^k = \vartheta_k(a_{n+1} - a_n)$, where $0 < \vartheta_k < \varepsilon_k$ and that (1) holds. Since

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (\beta_n^k - \alpha_n^k) < (a_{n+1} - a_n) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \varepsilon_k < a_{n+1} - a_n,$$

we have $\langle \alpha_n^k, \beta_n^k \rangle \subset I_n$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1$. We define $A_m = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle \alpha_n^m, \beta_n^m \rangle$, where $\alpha_n^m = \beta_n^{m-1}$, $\beta_n^m = \beta_n^{m-1} + \vartheta_m(a_{n+1} - a_n)$. Then $\langle \alpha_n^m, \beta_n^m \rangle \subset I_n$, and A_m satisfies the inequalities (1) with $k = m$.

The above theorem will be applied to prove the following theorems concerning concrete kernels connected with methods of summability of CESÀRO, STIELTJES and ABEL-LAPLACE (see [6], p. 134).

Theorem 2. Let $a(t, \tau) = \frac{k}{\tau} \left(1 - \frac{t}{\tau}\right)^{k-1}$ for $0 \leq t < \tau$, $a(t, \tau) = 0$ for $\tau < t$ where $k \geq 1$. Then the family of measures (2) is topologically equisplittable in T_0 .

Proof. We apply Theorem 1 with $I_n = \langle n-1, n \rangle$, $\eta = \frac{1}{2}$, $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, $\vartheta = \frac{\varepsilon}{k}$, $\delta = 4^{-k}$, $M = 1$. We consider two cases: $\beta_{n-1} < \tau < a_n$ and $a_n < \tau < \beta_n$. In the first case we have

$$\int_A a(t, \tau) dt = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left\{ \left(1 - \frac{\alpha_i}{\tau}\right)^k - \left(1 - \frac{\beta_i}{\tau}\right)^k \right\} < \frac{\vartheta}{\tau} k(n-1) < \varepsilon \frac{\tau+1}{\tau} \rightarrow \varepsilon \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$\int_A a(t, \tau) dt \geq \frac{\vartheta}{\tau} k \left[\sum_{i=1}^{\left[\frac{1}{2}(n-1)\right]} \left(1 - \frac{\gamma_i}{\tau}\right)^{k-1} \right] > \frac{\varepsilon}{\tau} \left[\frac{1}{2}(n-1) \right] \cdot 4^{1-k} > \varepsilon \frac{\tau-3}{\tau} \cdot 4^{-k} > \delta \varepsilon,$$

where $\alpha_t < \gamma_t < \beta_t$ and $\delta = 4^{-k}$. In the second case we obtain in a similar way

$$\delta \varepsilon < \int_A a(t, \tau) dt < \varepsilon + \tau^{-k} \rightarrow \varepsilon \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 3. If $a(t, \tau) = \frac{\varrho}{\tau} \left(1 + \frac{t}{\tau}\right)^{-\varrho-1}$, where $\varrho > 0$, then the family of measures (2) is topologically equisplittable in T_0 .

Proof. We apply Theorem 1 with $I_n = \langle n-1, n \rangle$, $\eta = \frac{1}{2}$, $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, $\vartheta = \varepsilon$, $\delta = M = 1$. We have

$$\int_A a(t, \tau) dt = \frac{\varrho \vartheta}{\tau} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma_n}{\tau}\right)^{-\varrho-1} < \frac{\varrho \varepsilon}{\tau} \left(1 + \frac{\tau}{\varrho}\right) \rightarrow \varepsilon \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\alpha_n < \gamma_n < \beta_n$, and

$$\int_A a(t, \tau) dt \geq \frac{\varrho \varepsilon}{\tau} \cdot \frac{\tau}{\varrho} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\tau}\right)^{-\varrho} \rightarrow \varepsilon \text{ as } \tau \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 4. If $a(t, \tau) = \tau^{-1} e^{-t/\tau}$, then the family of measures (2) is topologically equisplittable in T_0 .

Proof. With the same notation as in Theorem 3, we get

$$\varepsilon e^{-1/\tau} < \int_A a(t, \tau) dt < \varepsilon \frac{1 + \tau}{\tau},$$

which proves Theorem 4.

3. Let (a_{nv}) , $n, v = 1, 2, \dots$, be a nonnegative matrix, and let \mathfrak{G} be the σ -algebra of all subsets of the set of positive integers E , $T = E$. If we take

$$(3) \quad \mu_n A = \sum_i a_{ni} \text{ for } A = \{v_i\} \in \mathfrak{G}, \mu_n \emptyset = 0,$$

then $\{\mu_n\}$, $n \in T$, is a family of measures in \mathfrak{G} . We put $\tau_0 = \infty$, and we define the topology in $T_0 = T \cup \{\tau_0\}$ by means of the filter of complements of finite subsets of T . As an example, we give sufficient conditions for topological equisplittability of such families in case of matrices corresponding to RIESZ methods of summability $(R, p, 1)$:

$$(4) \quad a_{nv} = \begin{cases} \frac{p_v}{p_1 + \dots + p_n} & \text{for } n > v, \\ 0 & \text{for } n < v \end{cases}$$

where $p_n > 0$, $P_n = p_1 + \dots + p_n \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem 5. If $\{p_n\}$ is monotone and if there exist positive constants a, b, α, β such that

$$a < \frac{np_n}{P_n} < b, \quad a < \frac{p_{2^{n+1}}}{p_{2^n}} < \beta \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

then the family $\{\mu_n\}$ of measures (3) with a_{nv} defined by (4) is topologically equisplittable in T_0 .

Proof. We limit ourselves to the case of increasing $\{p_n\}$. Let I_r be the set of integers n satisfying the inequalities $2^r < n < 2^{r+1}$, $r = 1, 2, \dots$ and let $B_{kr} = \{j: 2^r + \dots + 2^{r-k+1} < j < 2^r + \dots + 2^{r-k} - 1\}$ for $r > k$. $A_k = \bigcup_{r=k}^{\infty} B_{kr}$. Since $B_{kr} \subset I_r$ and B_{kr} are disjoint for $k = 1, 2, \dots, r$, the sets A_1, A_2, \dots , are pairwise disjoint. Let $2^r < n < 2^{r+1}$, then

$$\sum_{v \in A_k} a_{nv} = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{i=k}^{r-1} \sum_{j \in B_{ki}} p_j + \varepsilon_{kn} \quad \text{for } k \leq r-1,$$

where

$$0 < \varepsilon_{kn} < \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{j \in B_{kr}} p_j.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{v \in A_k} a_{nv} &< \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{P_{2^r}} \sum_{i=k}^{r-1} 2^{i-k} \cdot p_{2^{i+1}-2^{i-k}-1} \\ &+ \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{P_{2^r}} \cdot 2^{r-k} \cdot p_{2^{r+1}-2^{r-k}-1} \\ &< \frac{b}{2^k} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=k}^{r-1} \frac{P_{2^{i+1}-2^{i-k}-1}}{P_{2^r}} + \frac{b}{2^k} \cdot \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{2^{r+1}}}{P_{2^r}} \\ &< \frac{b}{2^k} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{2^{r+1}-2^{r-k}-1}}{p_{2^{r+1}} + \dots + p_{2^{r+1}}} + \frac{b\beta}{2^k} < \frac{b}{2^k} \cdot \frac{2\beta}{a} + \frac{b\beta}{2^k}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{v \in A_k} a_{nv} &\geq \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{P_{2^{r+1}}} \sum_{i=k}^{r-1} 2^{i-k} \cdot p_{2^{i+1}-2^{i-k+1}-1} \\ &\geq \frac{a}{2^{k+1}} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=k}^{r-1} \frac{P_{2^{i+1}-2^{i-k+1}-1}}{P_{2^{r+1}}} \\ &\geq \frac{a}{2^{k+1}} \overline{\lim}_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{2^{r-1}}}{p_{2^{r+1}+1} + \dots + p_{2^{r+2}}} \geq \frac{a}{2^{k+1}} \cdot \frac{1}{4b\beta^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\delta \varepsilon_k < \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{v \in A_k} a_{nv} < M \varepsilon_k,$$

where

$$\delta = \frac{a}{8b\beta^3}, \quad M = b\beta \left(\frac{2}{a} + 1 \right), \quad \varepsilon_k = \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

Remark. Let us see that for instance the sequence $p_n = n^\gamma$, $\gamma \geq 0$, satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 1. If $\gamma = 0$, we get the (C, 1)-means.

4. In [4], the notion of equisplittability of a family of measures was applied to investigate some countably modulated spaces connected with strong summability.

If ϱ_i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$) are pseudomodulars in a real linear space X (see [3], p. 49) such that $\varrho_i(\lambda x) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots$ and all $\lambda > 0$ implies $x = 0$, then one defines $\varrho(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \varrho_i(x) (1 + \varrho_i(x))^{-1}$ and $\varrho_0(x) = \sup_i \varrho_i(x)$. X_ϱ and X_{ϱ_0} are modular spaces defined by means of modulars ϱ and ϱ_0 , respectively; X_ϱ is called a countably modulated space, and X_{ϱ_0} — a uniformly countably modulated space (see [2]).

Let \mathcal{G} be a σ -algebra of subsets of an abstract set E , and let X be the space of real functions x defined on E , measurable with respect to \mathcal{G} . In [4] we considered pseudomodulars $\varrho_i(x) = \sup_{\tau \in T} \int_E \varphi_i(|x(t)|) d\mu_\tau$, where $\{\mu_\tau\}$, $\tau \in T$, is a family of uniformly bounded measures on \mathcal{G} and $\varphi_i(u)$ are φ -functions.

Here, we shall suppose $T_0 = T \cup \{\tau_0\}$, where $\tau_0 \in T$, to be a topological space and we shall define

$$(5) \quad \varrho_i(x) = \overline{\lim}_{\tau \rightarrow \tau_0} \int_E \varphi_i(|x(t)|) d\mu_\tau.$$

According to the definition of $\overline{\lim}$, we have

$$\varrho_i(x) = \inf_U \sup_{\tau \in U \setminus \{\tau_0\}} \int_E \varphi_i(|x(t)|) d\mu_\tau,$$

where U runs over all neighborhoods of the point τ_0 . It is easily seen that if we take the coarsest topology in T_0 , then the pseudomodulars ϱ_i are reduced to those considered in [4].

Now, let X_ϱ and X_{ϱ_0} be the countably modulated spaces and the uniformly countably modulated space defined by the sequence of modulars (5). The following necessary and sufficient conditions for identity $X_\varrho = X_{\varrho_0}$ may be proved in the same manner as in [4]:

Theorem 6. Let family of measures $\{\mu_\tau\}$ be uniformly bounded and let the φ -functions φ_i be equicontinuous at 0 and satisfy the condition:

(*) there are constants $k, c, u_0 > 0$ and an index i_0 such that $\varphi_i(cu) \leq k\varphi_{i_0}(u)$ for $u \geq u_0$ and $i \geq i_0$. Then $X_\varrho = X_{\varrho_0}$.

Theorem 7. Let the family of measures $\{\mu_\tau\}$ be uniformly bounded and topologically equisplittable in T_0 , and let the φ -functions φ_i satisfy the following conditions:

- 1) for every index i there are constants $\lambda_i, \beta_i, \vartheta_i > 0$ such that $\varphi_i(\lambda_i u) \leq \beta_i \varphi_k(u)$ for every $u \geq \vartheta_i$ and $k \geq i$,
- 2) for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there are numbers $u_\varepsilon, \alpha_\varepsilon > 0$ depending on i such that $\varphi_i(\alpha u) < \varepsilon \varphi_i(u)$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \alpha_\varepsilon$, $u \geq u_\varepsilon$. Then $X_\varrho = X_{\varrho_0}$ implies (*).

Let us remark that in case T_0 defined as in 2, Theorem 2, $k = 1$, we obtain pseudomodulars corresponding to the MARCINKIEWICZ-ORLICZ space (see [1], p. 12; [3], p. 63, and [5], p. 188).

R E F E R E N C E S

- [1] J. ALBRYCHT, *The theory of Marcinkiewicz-Orlicz space*, Rozprawy Matematyczne **27**(1961), 1—56.
- [2] J. ALBRYCHT and J. MUSIELAK, *Countably modular spaces*, Studia Math. **31**(1968), 331—337.
- [3] J. MUSIELAK and W. ORLICZ, *On modular spaces*, *ibid.* **18** (1959), 49—65.
- [4] J. MUSIELAK and A. WASZAK, *Countably modular space connected with equisplittable families of measures*, Prace Matem. **13**(1970), in print.
- [5] W. ORLICZ, *On some classes of modular spaces*, Studia Math. **26** (1966), 165—192.
- [6] A. WASZAK, *Strong summability of functions in Orlicz metrics*, Prace Matem. **12**(1968), 115—139.

University of A. Mickiewicz,
Poznań, Poland