Applicable Analysis and Discrete Mathematics available online at http://pefmath.etf.rs

APPL. ANAL. DISCRETE MATH. 7 (2013), 83-93.

doi:10.2298/AADM121129022C

ON DIAMETER AND INVERSE DEGREE OF CHEMICAL GRAPHS

Xue-qanq Chen, Shinya Fujita

The inverse degree r(G) of a finite graph G = (V, E) is defined as r(G) = $\sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{d(v)}$, where d(v) is the degree of vertex v. In Discrete Math., **310** (2010), 940–946, MUKWEMBI posed the following conjecture: Let G be a connected chemical graph with diameter diam(G) and inverse degree r(G). Then diam $(G) \leq \frac{12}{5}r(G) + O(1)$. In this paper, we settle the conjecture affirmatively.

1. INTRODUCTION

Graph theory terminology not presented here can be found in [6]. Let G =(V, E) be a graph with |V| = n(G). The degree, neighborhood and closed neighborhood of a vertex v in the graph G are denoted by d(v), N(v) and $N[v] = N(v) \cup \{v\}$, respectively. The minimum degree and maximum degree of the graph G are denoted by $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$, respectively. The graph induced by $S \subseteq V$ is denoted by G[S]. Let G - S = G[V - S]. The graph induced by $E' \subseteq E$ is denoted by G[E']. Let G - E' = G[E - E']. The distance $d_G(u, v)$ between two vertices uand v of G is the length of the shortest u - v path in G, and the diameter is diam $(G) = \max\{d_G(u, v) : u, v \in V\}$. The inverse degree r(G) of G is defined as $r(G) = \sum_{v \in V} \frac{1}{d(v)}$. Let P_n, C_n and K_n denote the path, cycle and complete graph with order n, respectively.

Chemical graphs represent the structure of organic molecules and thus have a maximum degree of 4, carbon atoms being 4-valent and double bonds being counted as single edges. Formally, a chemical graph is a graph with a maximum degree of 4.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C07, 05C35.

Keywords and Phrases. Inverse degree, diameter, chemical graph.

The inverse degree (also known as the sum of reciprocals of degrees) first atracted attention through numerous conjectures generated by the computer programme Graffiti [4]. Since then its relationship with other graph invariants, such as diameter, edge-connectivity, matching number, Wiener index has been studied by several authors (see, for example [1, 2, 5]).

Turning to bounds on the diameter in terms of order and inverse degree, our starting point is the following bound by ERDŐS, PACH and SPENCER [3].

Theorem 1. Let G be a connected graph of order n, diameter diam(G) and inverse degree r(G). Then diam(G) $\leq (6r(G) + o(1)) \frac{\log n}{\log \log n}$.

The bound was later improved by a factor of about 2 by DANKELMANN, SWART and VAN DEN BERG [2], showing that $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leq (3r(G)+2+o(1))\frac{\log n}{\log \log n}$. MUKWEMBI [6] focused on bounds on the diameter in terms of the inverse degree for some important classes of graphs such as planar graphs, regular graph, chemical graphs and trees. Molecular structure-descriptors such as the Randic Index (defined as $R(G) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d(u)d(v)}}$), which is similar to that of the inverse degree, were studied intensively for these classes of graphs. MUKWEMBI [6] gave the following result.

Theorem 2. Let G be a connected chemical graph. Then $\operatorname{diam}(G) \leq 3r(G) + 3$.

In relation to the above theorem, MUKWEMBI [6] conjectured that if G is a connected chemical graph with diameter diam(G) and inverse degree r(G), then diam(G) $\leq \frac{12}{5}r(G) + O(1)$. In this paper, we settle this conjecture affirmatively.

Theorem 3. Let G be a connected chemical graph with diameter diam(G) and inverse degree r(G). Then diam(G) $\leq \frac{12}{5}r(G)$.

For the upper bound concerning diam(G), the coefficient $\frac{12}{5}$ of r(G) is the best possible. To see this, consider the graph $G = K_1 + K_3 + K_1 + K_1 + K_3 + K_1 + K_1 + K_3 + K_1$. Here the operation A + B for two disjoint graphs A, B means joining every vertex of A to every vertex of B with edges completely.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 3

Amongst all connected chemical graphs G, we choose G so that,

(1) $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)}$ is minimal, and subject to the condition (1),

(2) n(G) is minimal.

In order to prove the theorem, it suffices to show that $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} \geq 5/12$. Let $P = v_0 v_1 \dots v_{d-1} v_d$ be a diametral path of G. For $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, d$, let $N_i =$ $\{v|d(v,v_0) = i\}. \text{ Clearly we have } N_0 = \{v_0\}. \text{ If } \operatorname{diam}(G) \leq 3, \text{ it is easy to check that } \operatorname{diam}(G) \leq \frac{12}{5}r(G). \text{ Assume that } \operatorname{diam}(G) = 4. \text{ Then we have } r(G) \geq \sum_{x \in N[v_0]} \frac{1}{d(x)} + \sum_{x \in N[v_d]} \frac{1}{d(x)} \geq 2, \text{ and so } \operatorname{diam}(G) \leq \frac{12}{5}r(G). \text{ Also notice that, if } 6 \geq \operatorname{diam}(G) \geq 5, \text{ then } r(G) \geq \sum_{x \in N[v_0]} \frac{1}{d(x)} + \frac{1}{d(v_2)} + \frac{1}{d(v_3)} + \sum_{x \in N[v_d]} \frac{1}{d(x)} \geq 5/2, \text{ meaning that } \operatorname{diam}(G) \leq \frac{12}{5}r(G) \text{ holds. Hence, in the following argument, we may assume that } \operatorname{diam}(G) \geq 7. \text{ For } i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, d, \text{ let } S_i = \{v|v \in N_i, d(v) < 4\}. \text{ We define some graphs which will play an important role in the proof of our main result.}$



Claim 1. The following statements hold:

- (i) $\delta(G) \ge 2$.
- (ii) For every $1 \le i \le d-1$, $G[S_i \cup S_{i+1}]$ forms a complete graph. In particular, for any $v \in S_i$ and $u \in N_{i-1} \cup N_i \cup N_{i+1}$, if $vu \notin E(G)$ then d(u) = 4.
- (iii) For every $1 \le i \le d-1$, $|S_{i-1} \cup S_i \cup S_{i+1}| \le 3$.
- (iv) Let v be a vertex with d(v) = 2 such that $v \in N_i$ for some $1 \le i \le d-1$. Then, for any edge e = ab with $N(v) \cap \{a, b\} = \emptyset$, $|(N_{i-1} \cup N_i \cup N_{i+1}) \cap \{a, b\}| \le 1$.

Proof. To prove (i), suppose that there exists a vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that d(v) = 1. Then $v \in (V(G) - V(P)) \cup \{v_0, v_d\}$. Since P is a diametral path, it follows that $v \notin N_1$. If $v \in V(G) - V(P)$, let u be the neighbour of v and $G' = G - \{v\}$. Then diam $(G') \ge d$. Moreover, $d_{G'}(x) = d_G(x)$ for all $x \notin \{u, v\}$. Since $d(u) \ge 2$, we have $r(G) - r(G') = \frac{1}{d(v)} + \frac{1}{d(u)} - \frac{1}{d(u) - 1} = 1 + \frac{1}{d(u)} - \frac{1}{d(u) - 1} > 0$. Then $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, which is a contradiction. If $d(v_0) = 1$, let G' be obtained from G and K_3 by joining edges from v_0 to each vertex of K_3 . Then diam(G') = d + 1. Moreover, $d_{G'}(v) = d_G(v)$ for all $v \in V(G) - \{v_0\}$. Let $x = \sum_{v \in V(G) - \{v_0\}} \frac{1}{d(v)}$. Then $x \ge \frac{d}{4}$, r(G) = x + 1 and $r(G') = x + \frac{5}{4}$. So, $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} = \frac{x+1}{d} - \frac{x+\frac{5}{4}}{d+1} > 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $d(v_0) \ge 1$.

2. Similarly, $d(v_d) \geq 2$. So, $\delta(G) \geq 2$. Thus (i) holds. Next suppose that there exist two vertices $u, v \in S_i \cup S_{i+1}$ such that $uv \notin E(G)$. Let $G' = G \cup \{uv\}$. Note that $\dim(G) = \dim(G')$. Since $r(G) - r(G') = \frac{1}{d(u)} + \frac{1}{d(v)} - \frac{1}{d(u)+1} - \frac{1}{d(v)+1} > 0$, $\frac{r(G)}{\dim(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\dim(G')} > 0$, which is a contradiction. Thus (ii) holds. To prove (iii), suppose $|S_{i-1} \cup S_i \cup S_{i+1}| \geq 4$ and take $u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4 \in S_{i-1} \cup S_i \cup S_{i+1}$. Let G' be the graph obtained from G by adding a new vertex v to N_i with edges u_1v, u_2v, u_3v, u_4v (i.e., $G' = G \cup \{v\} \cup \{u_1v, u_2v, u_3v, u_4v\}$). Then one can easily check that $\frac{r(G)}{\dim(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\dim(G')} > 0$, a contradiction. Thus (iii) holds.

To show (iv), suppose that $a, b \in N_{i-1} \cup N_i \cup N_{i+1}$ where $ab \in E(G), v \in N_i$ and d(v) = 2. Consider the graph $G' = (G - \{ab\}) \cup \{av, bv\}$. Then we have $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, a contradiction. Thus (iv) holds.

Claim 2. If there exists a vertex $v \in N_i$ such that d(v) = 2, then $N_i = \{v\} = \{v_i\}$.

Proof. Since $N_0 = \{v_0\}$, we can assume that $v \in N_i$, where $i \in \{1, 2, ..., d\}$. Let $u \in N(v) \cap N_{i-1}$. Suppose that $N_i - \{v\} \neq \emptyset$. For any $w \in N_i - \{v\}$, if $wv \notin E(G)$, then d(w) = 4. Then there exists a vertex $t \in N(w) - N(v)$ such that $vt \notin E(G)$. Since $N(v) \cap \{w, t\} = \emptyset$, we get a contradiction to Claim 1(iv). Hence, $wv \in E(G)$ for any $w \in N_i - \{v\}$. Since d(v) = 2, $N_i = \{v, w\}$. Furthermore, $uw \in E(G)$. Otherwise, let $G' = (G - \{v\}) \cup \{uw\}$. Then diam(G') = d and r(G) - r(G') > 0. So, $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, which is a contradiction. Since diam $(G) \ge 7$, $d(u) \ge 3$ or $d(w) \ge 3$. If $d(u) \ge 3$ and $d(w) \ge 3$, let $G' = G - \{v\}$. Then diam(G') = d and r(G) - r(G') > 0. So, $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, which is a contradiction. Since diam $(G') \ge d$ and r(G) - r(G') > 0. So, $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, which is a contradiction. If d(u) = 2 and $d(w) \ge 3$, then $N_0 = \{u\}$. Let G' be obtained from G by adding a vertex ℓ and joining edges ℓu and ℓv . Then diam(G') = d + 1. Let $x = \sum_{z \in V(G) - \{u,v\}} \frac{1}{d(z)}$. Then $x \ge \frac{d}{4}$, r(G) = x + 1 and $r(G') = x + \frac{7}{6}$. So, $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} = \frac{x + 1}{d} - \frac{x + \frac{7}{6}}{d + 1} > 0$, which is a contradiction. If $d(u) \ge 3$ and $d(w) \ge 3$ and $d(w) \ge 3$ and d(w) = 2 then $N_v = [w,w]$. In a similar

which is a contradiction. If $d(u) \ge 3$ and d(w) = 2, then $N_d = \{v, w\}$. In a similar way as above, there is a contradiction. So $N_i = \{v\} = \{v_i\}$.

Claim 3. For $i \in \{2, 3, ..., d-2\}$, if there exists a vertex $v \in N_i - \{v_i\}$ such that d(v) = 4, say $N(v) = \{u, w, t, s\}$, then the following statements hold:

- (1) Suppose that $uw \notin E(G)$. If $u, w \in N_{i-1} \cup N_i$ or $u, w \in N_i \cup N_{i+1}$, then d(t) = d(s) = 3 holds.
- (2) $N(v) \cap N_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Since $v \notin V(P)$ and $2 \le i \le d-2$, in view of Claim 2, $d(u), d(w), d(t), d(s) \ge 3$. Furthermore, there exists a vertex of degree 4 in N(v).

(1) If $u, w \in N_{i-1} \cup N_i$ or $u, w \in N_i \cup N_{i+1}$, then d(t) = d(s) = 3. Otherwise, let $G' = (G - \{v\}) \cup \{uw\}$. Then diam $(G') \ge d$. Since $r(G) - r(G') \ge 0$, $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} \ge 0$ and n(G') < n(G), which is a contradiction.

(2) Suppose that $N(v) \subseteq N_{i-1} \cup N_i$. Then $N[v] \cong K_5$. Otherwise, say $uw \notin E(G)$. Then d(s) = d(t) = 3 and $st \in E(G)$. Since $sw \notin E(G)$ or $su \notin E(G)$, we can assume that $sw \notin E(G)$. Then d(u) = d(t) = 3 and $ut, us \in E(G)$. Since $i \geq 2, \{u, s, t\} \cap N_{i-1} = \emptyset$. Hence, $\{u, s, t\} \subseteq N_i$. Then $N(u) \cap N_{i-1} = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction. Since $N[v] \cong K_5$, $G \cong K_5$, which is a contradiction. So, $N(v) \cap N_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$.

Claim 4. For $i \in \{2, 3, \dots, d-2\}$, if there exists a vertex $v \in N_i - \{v_i\}$ such that d(v) = 3, then $G[N_{i-1} \cup N_i \cup N_{i+1}] \cong F_1$.

Proof. Let $N(v) = \{u, w, t\}$. Since $v \notin V(P)$ and $2 \leq i \leq d-2$, Claim 2 implies $d(u), d(w), d(t) \geq 3$. First we observe that for any $x, y \in N(v)$, if $x, y \in N_{i-1} \cup N_i$ or $x, y \in N_i \cup N_{i+1}$ then $xy \in E(G)$. To see this, suppose $xy \notin E(G)$, and let $G' = (G - \{v\}) \cup \{xy\}$. Then diam $(G') \geq d$. Since r(G) - r(G') > 0, we have $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, which is a contradiction.

Since $|S_{i-1} \cup S_i \cup S_{i+1}| \leq 3$ by Claim 1(iii), at least one vertex of N(v) has degree 4. Suppose that d(u) = 4. Then d(w) = d(t) = 3. Otherwise, let $G' = G - \{v\}$. Then diam $(G') \geq d$. Since $r(G) - r(G') \geq 0$, we have $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} \geq 0$ and n(G') < n(G), which is a contradiction. If $N(v) \subseteq N_{i-1} \cup N_i$, then $G[N[v]] \cong K_4$ by the above observation. So, $u \in N_{i-1}$ and $\{w, t\} \subseteq N_i$ (because $2 \leq i$ and d(w) = d(t) = 3). Since $i \leq d-2$, we have $v, w, t \notin V(P)$. So $u \notin V(P)$. Let G' = G - N[v]. Then diam $(G') \geq d$. Since r(G) - r(G') > 0, $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $N(v) \cap N_{i-1} \neq \emptyset$.

Case 1. $|N(v) \cap N_{i-1}| = 2.$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $w \in N_{i-1}$. Since $N(w) \cap N_{i-2} \neq \emptyset$, it follows that $wv_i \notin E(G)$. If there exists a vertex $s \in N(v_i) \cap (N_{i-1} \cup N_i) - N(v) \cap N_{i-1}$, let $G' = (G - \{v_is\}) \cup \{v_iw, vs\}$. Then $\operatorname{diam}(G') \geq d$. Since r(G) - r(G') > 0, we have $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $N(v_i) \cap (N_{i-1} \cup N_i) - N(v) \cap N_{i-1} = \emptyset$. That is $N(v) \cap N_{i-1} = \{w, u\}, uv_i \in E(G)$ and $|N(v_i) \cap N_{i+1}| \geq 3$. Since d(t) = 3, there exists a vertex $s \in N(v_i) \cap N_{i+1}$ such that $ts \notin E(G)$. Let $G' = (G - \{v_is\}) \cup \{v_iv, ts\}$. Then $\operatorname{diam}(G') \geq d$. Since r(G) - r(G') > 0, we have $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2. $|N(v) \cap N_{i-1}| = 1$ and $|N(v) \cap N_i| = 1$.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $w \in N(v) \cap (N_{i-1} \cup N_i)$. If $|N_i| > 2$, say $s \in N_i - N[v]$. By the above observation, $sw \notin E(G)$. Note that, by Claim 1(ii), d(s) = 4. Let $k \in N(s) - N(v)$ and $G' = (G - \{sk\}) \cup \{sw, kv\}$.

Then diam $(G') \geq d$. Since r(G) - r(G') > 0, we have $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $|N_i| = 2$. That is $N_i = \{v, v_i\}$ and $vv_i \in E(G)$. If $d(v_i) = 4$, then $v_i = u$, $w \in N_{i-1}$ and $t \in N_{i+1}$. Let $s \in N(v_i) - N(v)$ and $k \in N(s) - N(w) \cup N(t)$. Let $G' = (G - \{sk\}) \cup \{kw, sv\}$ or $G' = (G - \{sk\}) \cup \{kt, sv\}$. Then diam $(G') \geq d$. Since r(G) - r(G') > 0, we have $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $d(v_i) = 3$. That is $w = v_i$. Then $|N_{i+1}| = 1$. If $|N_{i-1}| \geq 2$, let $s \in N_{i-1} - N(v)$, then d(s) = 4 and $N(s) \cap N_i = \emptyset$. If $i \geq 3$, by Claim 3, there is a contradiction. If i = 2, then $|N_0 \cup N_1| \geq 6$, there is a contradiction. Hence $|N_{i-1}| = 1$. So $G[N_{i-1} \cup N_i \cup N_{i+1}] \cong F_1$.

Case 3. $|N(v) \cap N_{i-1}| = 1$ and $|N(v) \cap N_{i+1}| = 2$.

We may assume that $t \in N(v) \cap N_{i+1}$. Then $v_i t \notin E(G)$. Otherwise, let $G' = (G - \{t\}) \cup \{vv_i\}$. Then $\operatorname{diam}(G') \geq d$. Since r(G) - r(G') > 0, we have $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, which is a contradiction. In a similar way as Case 1, it follows that $N(v_i) \cap (N_i \cup N_{i+1}) = \{u\}$. That is $w \in N_{i-1}$ and $|N(v_i) \cap N_{i-1}| = 3$. In a similar way as Case 1, there is a contradiction.

Claim 5. For $i \in \{3, 4, ..., d-3\}$, if there exists a vertex $v \in N_i - \{v_i\}$ such that d(v) = 4, then one of the following statements hold:

- (1) $G[N_{i-1} \cup N_i \cup N_{i+1}] \cong F_2.$
- (2) $G[N_{i-1} \cup N_i \cup N_{i+1} \cup N_{i+2}] \cong F_3.$
- (3) $G[N_{i-2} \cup N_{i-1} \cup N_i \cup N_{i+1}] \cong F_3.$

Proof. Let $N(v) = \{u, w, t, s\}$. By Claim 3, $N(v) \cap N_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$.

Case 1. $|N(v) \cap N_{i-1}| = 3$ and $|N(v) \cap N_{i+1}| = 1$.

We may assume that $u, w, t \in N_{i-1}$ and $s \in N_{i+1}$. If $G[\{u, w, t\}] \cong K_3$, then d(u) = d(w) = d(t) = 4. Let $\ell \in N(u) \cap N_{i-2}$. Since $u \neq v_{i-1}$, applying Claim 3(1) to u, we also have $\ell \in N(w) \cap N(t)$. Let $G' = G - \{v, w, u, t\}$. Since $u, w, t \notin V(P)$ and $d(s) \geq 3$, diam $(G') \geq d$ and r(G) - r(G') > 0. So, $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, which is a contradiction. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $uw \notin E(G)$. Then, in view of Claim 3(1), we have d(t) = d(s) = 3. Since $ut \notin E(G)$ or $wt \notin E(G)$, say $ut \notin E(G)$, then d(w) = 3 and $wt \in E(G)$. Hence $w \neq v_{i-1}$. By Claim 4, there is a contradiction.

Case 2. $|N(v) \cap N_{i-1}| = 2$ and $|N(v) \cap N_i| = 1$.

We may assume that $u, w \in N_{i-1}$, $t \in N_i$ and $s \in N_{i+1}$. Suppose that $G[\{u, w, t\}] \cong K_3$. Since d(u) = d(w) = 4, in view of Claim 3, we must have $st \in E(G)$. Without loss of generality, assume $u \notin V(P)$. Let $\ell \in N(u) \cap N_{i-2}$. By Claim 3, we have $\ell w \in E(G)$.

If there exists a vertex $h \in N_i - \{v, t\}$, let $h_1 \in N(h) \cap N_{i-1}$ and $G' = (G - \{v\} - \{hh_1\}) \cup \{th_1, wh\}$. Then $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} \ge 0$ and n(G') < n(G),

which is a contradiction. Hence $N_i = \{v, t\}$. Since $N_i = \{v, t\}$, $N_{i+1} = \{s\}$. Suppose that there exists a vertex $h \in N_{i-1} - \{u, w\}$. Since $h \neq v_{i-1}$, by Claim 4, d(h) = 4. Since $N(h) \cap N_i = \emptyset$, by Claim 3, there is a contradiction. Hence, $N_{i-1} = \{u, w\} = \{u, v_{i-1}\}$. Arguing similarly as above, we can prove that $N_{i-2} = \{l\}$. So, $G[N_{i-2} \cup N_{i-1} \cup N_i \cup N_{i+1}] \cong F_3$.

Assume for the moment that $uw \notin E(G)$. By Claim 3, d(t) = d(s) = 3 and hence $st \in E(G)$ by Claim 1(ii). Since $ut \notin E(G)$ or $wt \notin E(G)$, say $ut \notin E(G)$, then d(w) = 3 and $wt \in E(G)$. Since $v \notin V(P)$, by Claim 4, $t = v_i$, $w = v_{i-1}$ and $s = v_{i+1}$. Since d(u) = 4 by Claim 1(iii), there exists a vertex $f \in N(u) - N(w)$. Let $G' = (G - \{uf\}) \cup \{ut, wf\}$. Then $\operatorname{diam}(G') \ge d$ and r(G) - r(G') > 0. So, $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, which is a contradiction.

Hence $uw \in E(G)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $ut \notin E(G)$. Then d(w) = d(s) = 3. It is easy to check that $w \notin V(P)$. Then, applying Claim 4 to w, we get a contradiction.

Case 3. $|N(v) \cap N_{i-1}| = 1$ and $|N(v) \cap N_i| = 1$.

We may assume that $u \in N_{i-1}$, $w \in N_i$ and $s, t \in N_{i+1}$. Suppose that $G[\{w, s, t\}] \cong K_3$. If d(s) = 3, let $G' = G - \{s\}$. Then $\operatorname{diam}(G') \ge d$. Since $r(G) - r(G') \ge 0$, $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} \ge 0$ and n(G') < n(G), which is a contradiction. Hence, d(s) = 4. Similarly, d(t) = 4. Then $uw \in E(G)$ by Claim 3(1). Say $s \neq v_{i+1}$. Let $\ell \in N(s) - \{v, w, t\}$. By Claim 3, $\ell \in N_{i+2}$ and $t\ell \in E(G)$. By a similar proof as Case 2, $G[N_{i-1} \cup N_i \cup N_{i+1} \cup N_{i+2}] \cong F_3$.

Assume that $st \notin E(G)$. Then d(u) = d(w) = 3. Since $wt \notin E(G)$ or $ws \notin E(G)$, say $ws \notin E(G)$, then d(t) = 3 and $wt \in E(G)$. By Claim 4, $w = v_i$, $u = v_{i-1}$, $t = v_{i+1}$. By Claim 1, d(s) = 4. Then there exists a vertex $f \in N(s) - N(t)$, let $G' = (G - \{sf\}) \cup \{sw, ft\}$. Then diam $(G') \ge d$ and r(G) - r(G') > 0. So, $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, which is a contradiction. Hence $st \in E(G)$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that $sw \notin E(G)$. Then d(u) = d(t) = 3. By Claim 4, $t = v_{i+1}$. Hence $v = v_i$, which is a contradiction.

Case 4. $|N(v) \cap N_{i-1}| = 1$ and $|N(v) \cap N_{i+1}| = 3$.

We may assume that $u \in N_{i-1}$ and $w, s, t \in N_{i+1}$. Assume for the moment that $G[\{w, s, t\}] \cong K_3$. Since $i \leq d-3$, if there exists a vertex $x \in \{w, s, t\}$ such that d(x) = 3, then $x \neq v_{i+1}$. But one can easily see that this structure contradicts Claim 4. So we have d(w) = d(s) = d(t) = 4. Since $G[\{w, s, t\}] \cong K_3$ and $v \neq v_i$, it is easy to check that $\{w, s, t\} \cap \{v_{i+1}\} = \emptyset$. By Claim 3, there exists a vertex $y \in N_{i+2}$ such that $\{w, s, t\} \subset N(y)$. Let $G' = G - \{v, w, s, t, y\}$. Then we get diam $(G') \geq d$. Also, since $d(u) \geq 3$ and $i \leq d-3$, it is easy to check that r(G) - r(G') > 0. So we have $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, a contradiction.

Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume that $ws \notin E(G)$. Then we have d(u) = d(t) = 3 by Claim 3. Since d(w) = 4 or d(s) = 4, we can assume that d(w) = 4. Then $st \in E(G)$. Since $i \leq d-3$ and d(t) = 3, we have $t \notin V(P)$. Applying Claim 4 to t, we can easily get a contradiction.

Case 5. $|N(v) \cap N_{i-1}| = 1$, $|N(v) \cap N_i| = 2$ and $|N(v) \cap N_{i+1}| = 1$.

We may assume that $u \in N_{i-1}$, $w, t \in N_i$ and $s \in N_{i+1}$. Suppose that $G[\{u, w, t\}] \cong K_3$. Then we have d(u) = 4. By Claim 3, $ws, ts \in E(G)$. If $u \notin V(P)$, let $G' = (G - \{t\} - \{v_{i-1}v_i\}) \cup \{uv_i, v_{i-1}v\}$. Then $\operatorname{diam}(G') \ge d$ and $r(G) - r(G') \ge 0$. So $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} \ge 0$ and n(G') < n(G), which is a contradiction. Hence, $u = v_{i-1}$. Suppose there exists a vertex $l \in N_{i-1} - \{u\}$. Then there exists a vertex $f \in N(\ell) - N_u$ such that $\ell f \in E(G)$. Then, letting $G' = (G - \{v\} - \{\ell f\}) \cup \{fu, w\ell\}$, we get $\operatorname{diam}(G') \ge d$ and $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, a contradiction. Hence $N_{i-1} = \{u\}$ and this implies $G[N_{i-1} \cup N_i \cup N_{i+1}] \cong F_2$, as desired.

Thus we may assume that $uw \notin E(G)$. By Claim 3, d(t) = d(s) = 3. Hence by Claim 1(ii), $st \in E(G)$. Since $t \in N_i$, we have $N(t) \cap N_{i-1} \neq \emptyset$. This implies $wt \notin E(G)$. Then by Claim 3, d(u) = 3 and $ut \in E(G)$. Hence d(w) = 4 (by Claim 1(ii)). Since $v \notin V(P)$, by Claim 4, $t = v_i$, $u = v_{i-1}$ and $s = v_{i+1}$. Let f be a vertex with $wf \in E(G)$ and $f \neq v$. Let $G' = (G - \{wf\}) \cup \{uw, ft\}$. Then we have diam $(G') \ge d$ and $\frac{r(G)}{\dim(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\dim(G')} > 0$, a contradiction. Hence $uw \in E(G)$. We can similarly have $ut \in E(G)$. Since $G[\{u, w, t\}] \ncong K_3$, $wt \notin E(G)$. So, d(u) = 3. Then $N(u) \cap N_{i-2} = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction.

Case 6. $|N(v) \cap N_{i-1}| = 2$ and $|N(v) \cap N_{i+1}| = 2$.

We may assume that $u, w \in N_{i-1}$ and $s, t \in N_{i+1}$. It is easy to check that $uw \in E(G)$ or $st \in E(G)$ holds. (Otherwise, let $G' = (G - \{v\}) \cup \{uw, st\}$. Then diam $(G') \geq d$ and r(G) - r(G') > 0. So $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, which is a contradiction.) Suppose that $uw \in E(G)$ and $st \notin E(G)$. By Claim 3, d(u) = d(w) = 3. This together with $v \notin V(P)$ implies $u, w \notin V(P)$. Then, applying Claim 4 to u, we get a contradiction. We can similarly get a contradiction in the case where $uw \notin E(G)$ and $st \in E(G)$.

Hence we may assume that $uw \in E(G)$ and $st \in E(G)$. If d(u) = d(w) = 3 or d(s) = d(t) = 3, in view of Claim 4, we get a contradiction. Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume that d(u) = d(s) = 4. Let $N(s) - \{v, t\} = \{s_1, s_2\}$ and $N(u) - \{v, w\} = \{u_1, u_2\}$.

Assume for a while that $s \notin V(P)$. Applying Claim 3 to s, we may assume that $s_1 \in N_{i+2}$. If $s_2 \in N_i \cup N_{i+1}$, then by Claim 3, we have $d(t) = d(s_1) = 3$. In this case, applying Claim 4 to t, we can easily get a contradiction. Thus we have $\{s_1, s_2\} \subset N_{i+2}$. Applying Claim 3 to s, $G[\{s_1, s_2, v, s\}] = K_4$. Furthermore, it is easy to prove that $d(s_1) = d(s_2) = 4$.

If G - v is connected, then let $G' = G - \{v, s, t\}$. If G - v is disconnected, then there is a connected component C such that $V(C) \supset \{s, t, s_1, s_2\}$ and G - Cis connected. In this case, let G' = G - C. In any case, since G' is connected and diam $(G') \ge d$, we get a contradiction to the choice of G.

Finally assume that $s \in V(P)$. We may assume that $s_1 = v_{i+2}, s_2 = v_i$. In view of Claim 4, we have d(t) = 4. In view of Claim 3, we have $s_1t, s_2t \in E(G)$ because d(v) = 4. Since $vs_2 \notin E(G)$, applying Claim 3 to t, we get a contradiction

because d(s) = 4.

Claim 6. For every $2 \le i \le d-2$, $N_{i-1} \cup N_i \cup N_{i+1}$ contains a vertex of degree at least 3.

Proof. Assume the opposite. Then by Claim 2, we have $d(v_{i-1}) = d(v_i) = d(v_{i+1}) = 2$ for some *i*. Let *G'* be a graph obtained from *G* by adding a new vertex *u* such that $uv_{i-1}, uv_i, uv_{i+1} \in E(G')$. Then we can easily check that $\frac{r(G)}{\operatorname{diam}(G)} - \frac{r(G')}{\operatorname{diam}(G')} > 0$, which contradicts the choice of *G*.

Now we find a block decomposition of G. Notice that, in view of Claims 2, 4, 5, G has a cut vertex. So there exist at least two blocks. Let \mathcal{B}_0 be a set of blocks such that each $B \in \mathcal{B}_0$ is isomorphic to K_2 and B contains a vertex v_j with $d(v_j) = 2$ for some $3 \le j \le d-2$. Moreover, let $\mathcal{B}_0^1 = \{B \in \mathcal{B}_0 | V(B) = \{v_{i-1}, v_i\}$ for some $3 \le i \le d-2$ such that $N_i = \{v_i\}, d(v_{i-1}) > 2, d(v_i) = 2$ and $d(v_{i+1}) > 2\}$ and $\mathcal{B}_0^2 = \{B \in \mathcal{B}_0 | V(B) = \{v_i, v_{i+1}\}$ for some $2 \le i \le d-2$ such that $N_i = \{v_i\}, N_{i+1} = \{v_{i+1}\}, d(v_i) = d(v_{i+1}) = 2\}$.

For i = 1, 2, 3, let \mathcal{B}_i be a set of blocks such that each $B \in \mathcal{B}_i$ is isomorphic to F_i and $V(B) \cap \{v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_{d-2}\} \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}_0^1 \cup \mathcal{B}_0^2 \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^3 \mathcal{B}_i)$. Also, for each $1 \leq i \leq 3$, put $b_i = |\mathcal{B}_i|$, and for j = 1, 2, put $b_{0j} = |\mathcal{B}_0^j|$. For a pair of blocks $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_3$, it is possible that B and B' share exactly one vertex (i.e., it is a cut vertex of G). Let x be the number of such pairs in $\mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_3$. Also let $Y = V(P) - \bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} V(B)$ and y = |Y|. Note that, in view of Claims 2-6, $Y \subset$ $\{v_0, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_{d-3}, v_{d-2}, v_{d-1}, v_d\}$. Put $I = \{i | v_i \in Y\}$ and $M = \{v \in V(G) | v \in N_i$ for some $i \in I\}$.

Claim 7. The following statements hold:

- (i) For $i \leq 3$, if $v_i \in Y$, then $v_j \in Y$ for each j with j < i. Similarly, for $i \geq d-3$, if $v_i \in Y$, then $v_j \in Y$ for each j with i < j.
- (ii) If $v_3 \in Y$, then $\sum_{v \in N_2 \cup N_3} \frac{1}{d(v)} \ge \frac{5}{6}$. Similarly, if $v_{d-3} \in Y$, then $\sum_{v \in N_{d-2} \cup N_{d-3}} \frac{1}{d(v)} \ge \frac{5}{6}$.
- (iii) $\sum_{v \in M} \frac{1}{d(v)} \ge 5y/12.$

Proof. We can easily see that, if $v_i \in Y$ holds for $i \leq 2$ or $i \geq d-2$, then the assertion of (i) follows from the structure of F_i for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $\delta(G) \geq 2$ by Claim 1(i). Suppose that $v_3 \in Y$. If $|N(v_3) \cap N_2| \geq 2$, then we can easily check that $\{v_0, v_1, v_2\} \subset Y$. So we may assume that $N(v_3) \cap N_2 = \{v_2\}$. If $d(v_3) = 2$, then $\{v_2, v_3\}$ forms a block in $\mathcal{B}_0^1 \cup \mathcal{B}_0^2$, which contradicts $v_3 \in Y$. So we have $d(v_3) \geq 3$. Then, applying Claim 4 or 5 to a vertex of $N(v_3) - V(P)$, we find a block $B \in \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \mathcal{B}_i$ containing v_3 , a contradiction. For the case where $v_{d-3} \in Y$, the almost identical argument works. Thus (i) holds.

To show (ii), suppose that $v_3 \in Y$. In view of Claims 2, 4, 5, this forces $|N(v_3) \cap N_2| \geq 2, N_3 = \{v_3\}$ and $N(v_3) \cap N_4 = \{v_4\}$ (otherwise, v_3 is contained in a block of \mathcal{B}). Since $d(v_3) \geq 3$ and $\Delta(G) \leq 4$, we have $\sum_{v \in N_2 \cup N_3} \frac{1}{d(v)} \geq 5/6$. For the case $v_{d-3} \in Y$, the almost identical argument works. To show (iii), by (i) it suffices to show that, for any maximal subset L of I such that $L = \{0, 1, \ldots, \ell\}$ or $L = \{d, d - 1, \ldots, d - \ell\}$ and $Z = \bigcup_{i \in L} V(N_i), \sum_{z \in Z} \frac{1}{d(z)} \geq 5|L|/12$. Note that if $I \neq \emptyset$ then $1 \leq |L| \leq 4$ by the definition of Y and I. By the Claims 2, 4, 5, $2 \leq |L| \leq 4$. Since the argument of the proof is almost identical, we only discuss the case where $L = \{0, 1, \ldots, \ell\}$. If |L| = 2, then $\sum_{z \in Z} \frac{1}{d(z)} \geq \sum_{x \in N[v_0]} \frac{1}{d(x)} \geq 1 > 5/6$, as claimed. If |L| = 3, in view of Claim 2, it is easy to see that $d(v_1) \geq 3$. Then we have $\sum_{z \in Z} \frac{1}{d(z)} \geq \max\left\{\sum_{x \in N[v_0]} \frac{1}{d(x)}, \sum_{x \in N[v_1]} \frac{1}{d(v)}\right\} \geq 5/4$, as claimed. If |L| = 4, then by (ii), $\sum_{v \in M} \frac{1}{d(v)} \geq \sum_{x \in N[v_0]} \frac{1}{d(x)} + \sum_{v \in N_2 \cup N_3} \frac{1}{d(v)} \geq 1 + 5/6 > 5/3$, as claimed.

Now we construct a graph G^* from G as follows: For every pair of blocks $B, B' \in \mathcal{B}_1 \cup \mathcal{B}_3$ sharing one cut vertex v (i.e., $|B \cap B'| = 1$), delete v and add two new vertices v', v'' with an edge e = v'v'' and join v' to $N(v) \cap B$ completely, v'' to $N(v) \cap B'$ completely with edges (i.e., this operation corresponds to replacing a cutvertex by a bridge). Let G^* be the resulting graph. By this construction, we have $d(G^*) = d + x$.

Then, in view of Claims 2-5 and 7(iii), we get that $r(G^*) = r(G) + 5x/12 \ge b_{01}/2 + b_{02} + 4b_1/3 + 5b_2/4 + 5b_3/3 + 5y/12$ and $d(G^*) = d + x \le b_{01} + 2b_{02} + 3b_1 + 3b_2 + 4b_3 + y$.

Consequently we have $d \leq \frac{12}{5}r(G)$, as desired. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Acknowledgments. X.-G. Chen's research is supported by spectical funds for coconstruction project of Beijing. Shinya Fujita's research is supported by KAKENHI Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) (23740095).

We wish to offer our thanks to the referees for their careful reading of a previous version of this paper and for a number of helpful suggestions that led to many improvements.

REFERENCES

- P. DANKELMANN, A. HELLWIG, L. VOLKMANN: Inverse degree and edge-connectivity. Discrete Math., 309 (2008), 2943–2947.
- P. DANKELMANN, H. C. SWART, P. VAN DEN BERG: Diameter and inverse degree. Discrete Math., 308 (2008), 670–673.
- P. ERDŐS, J. PACH, J. SPENCER: On the mean distance between points of a graph. Congr. Numer., 64 (1988), 121–124.

- 4. S. FAJTLOWICZ: On conjectures of graffiti II. Congr. Numer., 60 (1987), 189-197.
- 5. X. LI, I. GUTMAN: Mathematical Aspects of Randic-type Molecular structure Descriptors. MCM, Kragujevac, 2006.
- S. MUKWEMBI: On diameter and inverse degree of a graph. Discrete Math., 310 (2010), 940–946.

Department of Mathematics, North China Electric Power University, Beijing 102206 China E-mail: gxcxdm@163.com (Received February 21, 2012) (Revised November 29, 2012)

Department of Integrated Design Engineering, Maebashi Institute of Technology, 460-1 Kamisadori, Maebashi, Gunma 371-0816 Japan E-mail: shinya.fujita.ph.d@gmail.com