

ON A CONVERSE OF JENSEN'S INEQUALITY

S. S. Dragomir

The main aim of this paper is to point out a refinement of the reverse of JENSEN's inequality obtained in 1994 by DRAGOMIR and IONESCU.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1994, S. S. DRAGOMIR and N. M. Ionescu [2], obtained the following reverse of JENSEN's inequality for convex functions:

$$(1.1) \quad 0 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) - f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i f(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i),$$

provided that $f : I \subseteq \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is differentiable convex on $\overset{\circ}{I}$ ($\overset{\circ}{I}$ is the interior of the interval I), $x_i \in \overset{\circ}{I}$, $p_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. If f is strictly convex on $\overset{\circ}{I}$, then the case of equality holds in (1.1) iff $x_1 = \dots = x_n$.

For some applications of this result see for example the recent papers [3] and [4].

The main aim of this paper is to point out a refinement of the reverse of JENSEN's inequality stated in (1.1).

THE RESULTS

The following inequality holds.

Lemma 1. *Let $f : I \subseteq \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a differentiable convex function on $\overset{\circ}{I}$, $x_i \in \overset{\circ}{I}$, $p_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) with $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. Then we have the inequality*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i f'(x_i) + \inf_{x \in \overset{\circ}{I}} \left(f(x) - x \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i) \right).$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 26D15; Secondary 26D10.
Keywords and Phrases: Jensen's inequality, Convex functions.

Proof. As f is differentiable convex on $\overset{\circ}{I}$, then for all $x, y \in \overset{\circ}{I}$ we have the inequality:

$$(2.2) \quad f(x) - f(y) \geq f'(y)(x - y).$$

If we choose in (2.2) $y = x_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$), multiply with $p_i > 0$ and sum over i from 1 up to n , we get

$$f(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) \geq x \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i f'(x_i)$$

which is clearly equivalent to

$$(2.3) \quad f(x) - x \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i f'(x_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i)$$

for all $x \in \overset{\circ}{I}$.

Taking the infimum over $x \in \overset{\circ}{I}$, we deduce (2.1). \square

The following result concerning a refinement of the DRAGOMIR-IONESCU (1.1) holds.

Theorem 1. *With the assumptions of Lemma 1 for f , x_i , p_i , we have the inequality:*

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) - f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \\ &\leq \inf_{x \in \overset{\circ}{I}} \left(f(x) - x \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i f'(x_i) - f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i f'(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The second inequality in (2.4) follows by the first one in (2.1).

It is obvious that

$$\inf_{x \in \overset{\circ}{I}} \left(f(x) - x \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i) \right) \leq f(\bar{x}) - \bar{x} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i),$$

where $\bar{x} := \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i \in \overset{\circ}{I}$, and then the last part of (2.4) is proved. \square

For applications we may use the following result.

Lemma 2. *Let $f : I \subseteq \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be a differentiable, strictly convex function on $\overset{\circ}{I}$, $x_i \in \overset{\circ}{I}$, $p_i > 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$. Then we have the inequality*

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i f'(x_i) + f\left((f')^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i)\right)\right)$$

$$-(f')^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i)\right) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i),$$

where $(f')^{-1}$ denotes the inverse function of the derivative f' defined on $f'(\overset{\circ}{I})$.

The case of equality holds in (2.5) iff $x_1 = \dots = x_n$.

Proof. Define the function $g : \overset{\circ}{I} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, $g(x) = f(x) - x \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i)$. Obviously, g is differentiable on $\overset{\circ}{I}$ and

$$(2.6) \quad g'(x) = f'(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i).$$

The equation $g'(x) = 0$, $x \in \overset{\circ}{I}$ is equivalent to

$$(2.7) \quad f'(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i)$$

and since $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i) \in f'(\overset{\circ}{I})$, f' is one-to-one, being strictly increasing on $\overset{\circ}{I}$, it follows that the equation (2.7) has a unique solution $x_0 \in \overset{\circ}{I}$ which is given by

$$(2.8) \quad x_0 := (f')^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i)\right) \in \overset{\circ}{I},$$

where $(f')^{-1}$ is the inverse function of the derivative f' defined on $f'(\overset{\circ}{I})$.

Taking into account that $g'(x) < 0$ if $x < x_0$, $x \in \overset{\circ}{I}$ and $g'(x) > 0$ if $x > x_0$, $x \in \overset{\circ}{I}$, it follows that

$$\inf_{x \in \overset{\circ}{I}} g(x) = g(x_0) = f\left((f')^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i)\right)\right) - (f')^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i)\right) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i).$$

Using (1.1) we deduce (2.5).

The case of equality follows by the strict convexity of $\overset{\circ}{I}$ and we omit the details. \square

We can now state the following refinement of the DRAGOMIR-IONESCU result (1.1).

Theorem 2. *Let f , x_i , p_i be as in Lemma 2. Then we have the inequality*

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) - f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i f'(x_i) + f\left((f')^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i)\right)\right) \\ &\quad - (f')^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i)\right) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i) - f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i f'(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i) \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i. \end{aligned}$$

The equality holds in (2.9) iff $x_1 = \dots = x_n$.

The proof is obvious by Theorem 1 Lemma 2.

REMARK. We note that with the assumptions in Lemma 2, we have the double inequality

$$(2.10) \quad f\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i f'(x_i) + f\left((f')^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i)\right)\right) - \sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i) \cdot (f')^{-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f'(x_i)\right),$$

with equality iff $x_1 = \dots = x_n$.

If g is differentiable and strictly concave, then

$$(2.11) \quad g\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i\right) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i g(x_i) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i g'(x_i) + g\left(-(g')^{-1}\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n p_i g'(x_i)\right)\right) + \sum_{i=1}^n p_i g'(x_i) \cdot (g')^{-1}\left(-\sum_{i=1}^n p_i g'(x_i)\right),$$

with equality iff $x_1 = \dots = x_n$.

The proof of (2.11) follows by (2.10) choosing $f = -g$.

REFERENCES

1. P. S. BULLEN, D. S. MITRINOVIĆ, P. M. VASIĆ: *Means and their Inequalities*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1988.
2. S. S. DRAGOMIR, N. M. IONESCU: *Some converse of Jensen's inequality and applications*. Anal. Num. Theor. Approx., **23** (1994), 71–78.
3. S. S. DRAGOMIR, C. J. GOH: *A counterpart of Jensen's discrete inequality for differentiable convex mappings and applications in information theory*. Math. Comput. Modelling, **24**(2) (1996), 1–11.
4. S. S. DRAGOMIR, C. J. GOH: *A counterpart of Hölders inequality*. Mitt. Math. Ges. Hamburg, **16** (1997), 99–106.

School of Communications and Informatics,
Victoria University of Technology,
PO Box 14428,
Melbourne City MC,
Victoria 8001, Australia.
sever@matilda.vu.edu.au
<http://rgmia.vu.edu.au/SSDragomirWeb.html>

(Received January 20, 2001)