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In this note we give a brief account of linear involutions.
Let $A$ be a linear transformation on an $n$-dimensional unitary space such that $A^{p}=I$, where $p$ is the least positive integer, and $I$ is the identity. Then $A$ is called a linear involution of order $p$. Properties of linear involutions have been studied and can be found scattered in the literature. In this note we give a brief account of them.

Definitions and Notation. An $n$-dimensional unitary space will be denoted by $E_{n}$. Greek and Latin letters will denote vectors and scalars respectively. Linear transformations will be indicated by capital letters. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a basis for $E_{n}$. Then the matrix of a linear transformation $A$ with respect to $\mathcal{B}$ will be $[A]_{\mathcal{B}}$. In what follows all transformations are linear. The inner product of $\xi$ and $\eta$ will be denoted by $\langle\xi, \eta\rangle$. The adjoint $A^{*}$ of a linear transformation $A$ is defined by $\langle A \xi, \eta\rangle=\left\langle\xi, A^{*} \eta\right\rangle$. The direct sum of two subspaces $S$ and $T$ will be denoted by $S \oplus T$. Other definitions will be given whenever needed.
2. Proper Values of a Linear Involution. Let $A$ be a linear involution of order $p$ on $E_{n}$. Then every proper value od $A$ is a $p$-th root of unity.
Proof. Let $\xi$ be a proper vector of $A$ corresponding to proper value $a$. Then $A \xi=a \xi, \xi \neq 0$, which implies $A^{p} \xi=a^{p} \xi=\xi, \quad \xi \neq 0$, or $\left(a^{p}-1\right) \xi=0, \quad \xi \neq 0$. Thus $a^{p}-1=0$, which proves the proposition.
3. Theorem. Let $A \neq I$ be a Hermitian transformation on $E_{n}$ such that $A^{k}=$ $I, k \geq 2$. Then $A$ is an involution of order 2 .

Since the proper values of $A$ are real, they must be $\pm 1$. (Note that $A$ is a symmetry with respect to a proper subspace of $E_{n}$.)
4. Theorem. Let $A$ be a positive transformation on $E_{n}$ such that $A^{p}=I$. Then $A=I$.

[^0]Since the proper values of $A$ are positive and also roots of unity, they all must be 1 . Thus $A=I$.
5. Theorem. Let A be a normal transformation and an involution of order $p$ on $E_{n}$. Then $A$ is unitary (an isometry).
Proof. Since $A^{*} A=A A^{*}$ and $A^{p}=I$, and $\left(A^{*} A\right)^{p}=I$. It is clear that $A^{*} A$ is positive, and thus by Theorem 4 we must have $A^{*} A=I$, which proves the theorem.
6. Theorem. A necessary and sufficient condition for a linear transformation $A$ on $E_{n}$ to be an involution of order $p$ is that $A=a_{1} P_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} P_{k}$, where $a_{i}, i=1, \ldots, k$ is a $p$-th root of unity, and $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ are projections such that $P_{i} P_{j}=0, i \neq j$ and $P_{1}+\cdots+P_{k}=I$.
Proof. The sufficiency is obvious.
Now let $A^{p}=I$, and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}$ be distinct proper values of $A$ with algebraic multiplicies $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{k}$ respectively. Then there exist subspaces $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{k}$ such that $E_{n}=S_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{k}$, each $S_{i}$ is invariant under $A$, and $A=a_{i} I+N_{i}$ on $S_{i}[\mathbf{1}]$. Essentially, we are using the Jordan canonical form of $A$. To prove the necessity, we must show that $N_{i}=0, i=1, \ldots, k$.

Suppose for some $i$ the index of nilpotency of $N_{i}$ is $m \geq 2$. Then there is an $\eta \in S_{i}, \eta \neq 0$ such that $N_{i}^{m} \eta=0$, and $N_{i}^{m-1} \eta \neq 0$. Let $N_{i}{ }^{m-1} \eta=\eta_{1} \neq 0$ and $N_{i}{ }^{m-2} \eta=\eta_{2} \neq 0$. Then $A \eta_{1}=a_{i} \eta_{1}$, and $A \eta_{2}=\eta+a_{1} \eta_{2}$. This implies that $A^{k} \eta_{1}=a_{i}^{k} \eta_{1}, \quad A^{k} \eta_{2}=k a_{i}{ }^{k-1} \eta_{1}+a_{i}{ }^{k} \eta_{2}$, where $k$ is a positive integer. In particular $a^{p} \eta_{2}=p a_{i}{ }^{p-1} \eta_{1}+a_{i}{ }^{p} \eta_{2}$. Since $A^{p}=I$ and $a_{i}{ }^{p-1}=1$, the above equality implies $a_{i}{ }^{p-1} \eta_{1}=0$. This contradicts the fact that $a_{i} \neq 0$ and $\eta_{1} \neq 0$. Therefore $N_{i}=0$.

Let $P_{i}$ be the projection on $S_{i}$ along $S_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{i-1} \oplus S_{i+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{k}$, $i=1, \ldots, k$. Then $A=a_{1} P_{1}+\cdots+a_{k} P_{k}$.
7. Theorem. Let [A] be the matrix of the linear transformation $A$ on $E_{n}$ with respect to basis $\mathcal{B}$. Then $A$ is a linear involution of order $n$ whose proper values are distinct $n$-th roots of unity if and only if all the principal k-rowed minors of $[A], k=1, \ldots, n-1$ are zero and $\operatorname{det} A=(-1)^{n-1}$. (By [2], p. 19, this means that the characteristic equation of $A$ is $z^{n}-1=0$.)

The proof is straightforward and will be omitted.
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