UNIV. BEOGRAD. PUBL. ELEKTROTEHN. FAK. Ser. Mat. 4 (1993), 23-24.

## CHARACTERIZATION OF LINEAR INVOLUTIONS

Ali R. Amir-Moéz, Donald W. Palmer

## In this note we give a brief account of linear involutions.

Let A be a linear transformation on an n-dimensional unitary space such that  $A^p = I$ , where p is the least positive integer, and I is the identity. Then A is called a linear involution of order p. Properties of linear involutions have been studied and can be found scattered in the literature. In this note we give a brief account of them.

**Definitions and Notation.** An *n*-dimensional unitary space will be denoted by  $E_n$ . Greek and Latin letters will denote vectors and scalars respectively. Linear transformations will be indicated by capital letters. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a basis for  $E_n$ . Then the matrix of a linear transformation A with respect to  $\mathcal{B}$  will be  $[A]_{\mathcal{B}}$ . In what follows all transformations are linear. The inner product of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  will be denoted by  $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle$ . The adjoint  $A^*$  of a linear transformation A is defined by  $\langle A\xi, \eta \rangle = \langle \xi, A^*\eta \rangle$ . The direct sum of two subspaces S and T will be denoted by  $S \oplus T$ . Other definitions will be given whenever needed.

**2. Proper Values of a Linear Involution.** Let A be a linear involution of order p on  $E_n$ . Then every proper value of A is a p-th root of unity.

**Proof.** Let  $\xi$  be a proper vector of A corresponding to proper value a. Then  $A\xi = a\xi, \xi \neq 0$ , which implies  $A^p\xi = a^p\xi = \xi, \quad \xi \neq 0$ , or  $(a^p - 1)\xi = 0, \quad \xi \neq 0$ . Thus  $a^p - 1 = 0$ , which proves the proposition.

**3. Theorem.** Let  $A \neq I$  be a Hermitian transformation on  $E_n$  such that  $A^k = I$ , k > 2. Then A is an involution of order 2.

Since the proper values of A are real, they must be  $\pm 1$ . (Note that A is a symmetry with respect to a proper subspace of  $E_n$ .)

**4.** Theorem. Let A be a positive transformation on  $E_n$  such that  $A^p = I$ . Then A = I.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>0</sup>1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A04

Since the proper values of A are positive and also roots of unity, they all must be 1. Thus A = I.

**5. Theorem.** Let A be a normal transformation and an involution of order p on  $E_n$ . Then A is unitary (an isometry).

**Proof.** Since  $A^*A = AA^*$  and  $A^p = I$ , and  $(A^*A)^p = I$ . It is clear that  $A^*A$  is positive, and thus by Theorem 4 we must have  $A^*A = I$ , which proves the theorem.

**6.** Theorem. A necessary and sufficient condition for a linear transformation A on  $E_n$  to be an involution of order p is that  $A = a_1P_1 + \cdots + a_kP_k$ , where  $a_i, i = 1, \ldots, k$  is a p-th root of unity, and  $P_1, \ldots, P_k$  are projections such that  $P_iP_j = 0, i \neq j$  and  $P_1 + \cdots + P_k = I$ .

**Proof.** The sufficiency is obvious.

Now let  $A^p = I$ , and  $a_1, \ldots, a_k$  be distinct proper values of A with algebraic multiplicies  $m_1, \ldots, m_k$  respectively. Then there exist subspaces  $S_1, \ldots, S_k$  such that  $E_n = S_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k$ , each  $S_i$  is invariant under A, and  $A = a_i I + N_i$  on  $S_i$  [1]. Essentially, we are using the JORDAN canonical form of A. To prove the necessity, we must show that  $N_i = 0, i = 1, \ldots, k$ .

Suppose for some *i* the index of nilpotency of  $N_i$  is  $m \ge 2$ . Then there is an  $\eta \in S_i$ ,  $\eta \ne 0$  such that  $N_i^m \eta = 0$ , and  $N_i^{m-1} \eta \ne 0$ . Let  $N_i^{m-1} \eta = \eta_1 \ne 0$ and  $N_i^{m-2} \eta = \eta_2 \ne 0$ . Then  $A\eta_1 = a_i \eta_1$ , and  $A\eta_2 = \eta + a_1 \eta_2$ . This implies that  $A^k \eta_1 = a_i^k \eta_1$ ,  $A^k \eta_2 = k a_i^{k-1} \eta_1 + a_i^k \eta_2$ , where *k* is a positive integer. In particular  $a^p \eta_2 = p a_i^{p-1} \eta_1 + a_i^p \eta_2$ . Since  $A^p = I$  and  $a_i^{p-1} = 1$ , the above equality implies  $a_i^{p-1} \eta_1 = 0$ . This contradicts the fact that  $a_i \ne 0$  and  $\eta_1 \ne 0$ . Therefore  $N_i = 0$ .

Let  $P_i$  be the projection on  $S_i$  along  $S_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus S_{i-1} \oplus S_{i+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus S_k$ ,  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ . Then  $A = a_1 P_1 + \cdots + a_k P_k$ .

7. Theorem. Let [A] be the matrix of the linear transformation A on  $E_n$  with respect to basis  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then A is a linear involution of order n whose proper values are distinct n-th roots of unity if and only if all the principal k-rowed minors of [A], k = 1, ..., n-1 are zero and det  $A = (-1)^{n-1}$ . (By [2], p. 19, this means that the characteristic equation of A is  $z^n - 1 = 0$ .)

The proof is straightforward and will be omitted.

## REFERENCES

- ALI R. AMIR-MOÉZ: Extreme Properties of Linear Transformations. Polygonal Publishing House, Box 357, Washington, NJ07882, 1990.
- 2. C. C. MACDUFFEE: The Theory of Matrices. Verlag Julius Springer, Berlin, 1933.

Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas 79409-1042, USA (Received July 15, 1992)