UNIV. BEOGRAD. PUBL. ELEKTROTEHN. FAK. Ser. Mat. 3 (1992), 61-66

AN ASYMPTOTIC FORMULA INVOLVING THE ENUMERATING FUNCTION OF FINITE ABELIAN GROUPS

Aleksandar Ivić*

Dedicated to Professor Paul Erdős on the occasion of his 80th birthday

Let a(n) denote the number of non-isomorphic Abelian groups with n elements. It is shown that there is a constant C > 0 such that

$$\sum_{n \le x} a(n + a(n)) = Cx + O(x^{11/12 + \varepsilon}).$$

Let a(n), as usual, denote the number of non-isomorphic Abelian (commutative) groups with n elements. It is well-known that this is a multiplicative function (a(mn) = a(m)a(n) if (m, n) = 1) such that $a(p^{\alpha}) = P(\alpha)$ for any prime p (henceforth p will always denote primes), where $P(\alpha)$ is the number of (unrestricted) partitions of α . Thus, for Re s > 1,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)n^{-s} = \zeta(s)\zeta(2s)\zeta(3s)\dots,$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the RIEMANN zeta-function. The first paper in which the function a(n) was studied was written by P. ERDŐS and G. SZEKERES [5]. Later the distribution of values of a(n) was extensively studied by many authors (see, for example, the papers [2]-[11]). The function a(n) has the property that a(n) = a(s(n)), where s(n) is the squarefull part of n. Functions with this property were named s-functions in [8], where their local densities were discussed. Problems involving a(n) at consecutive integers were investigated in [3], and those involving the iterates of a(n) in [4]. The local densities of a(n) were studied in [6], [7] and [11].

^{*}Research financed by the Mathematical Institute of Belgrade.

¹⁹⁹¹ AMS Subject Classification: Primary 11N 37, Secondary 20K 01

The aim of this note is to furnish an asymptotic formula for the summatory function of a(n + a(n)). This is motivated by the work of C. SPIRO [12], who proved

$$\sum_{n \le x, \ d(n+d(n)) = d(n)} 1 \gg \frac{x}{(\log x)^7},$$

where as usual d(n) is the number of divisors of n. It seems reasonable to conjecture that, for some D > 0,

(1)
$$\sum_{n \le x} d(n+d(n)) = Dx \log x + O(x),$$

although to the best of my knowledge no one has proved or disproved (1) so far. The corresponding problem when d(n) is replaced by a(n) (or a suitable primeindependent multiplicative function f(n) such that f(p) = 1) is much less difficult. This is roughly due to the fact that d(p) = 2 and a(p) = 1. We shall prove the following

Theorem. There is an effectively computable constant C > 0 such that for any given $\varepsilon > 0$

(2)
$$\sum_{n \le x} a(n+a(n)) = Cx + O(x^{11/12+\varepsilon}).$$

Before we give the proof of (2) it should be remarked that very likely the exponent 11/12 in the error term in (2) is far from the best possible one. In fact, I conjecture that the error term in question is $O(x^{1/2+\varepsilon})$ for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\Omega(x^{1/2-\delta})$ for any given $\delta > 0$. It would be interesting to compare C in (2) with the constant

$$C_0 = \lim_{x \to \infty} x^{-1} \sum_{n \le x} a(n) = \zeta(2)\zeta(3)\zeta(4) \dots = 2.29485 \dots$$

which represents the mean value of a(n). Numerical computation of C is not easy, and I cannot rule out the possibility that $C = C_0$.

Henceforth let q denote squarefree numbers $(\mu^2(q) = 1)$ and s squarefull numbers $(p \mid s \text{ implies } p^2 \mid s)$, respectively. We start from

$$\sum_{n \le x} a(n+a(n)) = \sum_{k \le x^{\varepsilon}} \sum_{n \le x, a(n)=k} a(n+k) = \sum_{k \le x^{\varepsilon}} S(x,k),$$

where we used the bound (see [9]) $\log a(n) \ll \log n / \log \log n$, which implies $a(n) \le n^{\varepsilon}$ $(n \ge n_0)$, and where we set

$$S(x,k) := \sum_{\substack{n \le x, a(n) = k}} a(n+k) = \sum_{\substack{s \le x, a(s) = k}} \sum_{\substack{q \le x/s, (q,s) = 1}} a(qs+k)$$
$$= \sum_{\substack{s \le x^{\alpha}, a(s) = k}} \sum_{\substack{q \le x/s, (q,s) = 1}} a(qs+k) + O(x^{1-\alpha/2+\varepsilon})$$

uniformly in k, where α is a constant such that $0 < \alpha < 1/3$ which will be determined later. Here we used the already mentioned property that a(n) = a(s) if n = qs, (q, s) = 1, which follows from a(p) = 1 and multiplicativity. Now we shall use (see [7] and [8]) the uniform estimate

$$\sum_{q \le x, (q,r)=1} 1 = 6\pi^{-2}x \prod_{p \mid r} (1+p^{-1})^{-1} + O(x^{1/2}r^{\varepsilon})$$

to obtain, uniformly for $1 \le s \le x^{\alpha} < x^{1/3}$, $1 \le k \le x^{\varepsilon}$,

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{q \le x/s_+(q,s)=1} a(qs+k) = \sum_{d^2l \le x/s_+(d,s)=1, \ (l,s)=1} \mu(d) a(d^2ls+k) \\ &= \sum_{d \le x^{\alpha/2_+}(d,s)=1} \mu(d) \sum_{l \le x/(d^2s)_+(l,s)=1} a(d^2ls+k) + O\left(\frac{x}{s} \sum_{d > x^{\alpha/2}} x^{\varepsilon} d^{-2}\right) \\ &= \sum_{d \le x^{\alpha/2_+}(d,s)=1} \mu(d) \sum_{\delta|s} \mu(\delta) \sum_{m \le x/(d^2\delta s)} a(d^2\delta sm+k) + O(x^{1+\varepsilon - \alpha/2} s^{-1}) \\ &= \sum_{d \le x^{\alpha/2_+}(d,s)=1} \mu(d) \sum_{\delta|s} \mu(\delta) \sum_{n \le x, \ n \equiv k \pmod{r}} a(n) + O(x^{\alpha/2+\varepsilon}) + O(x^{1+\varepsilon - \alpha/2} s^{-1}). \end{split}$$

Here we set $r = d^2 \delta s$ and we used the elementary relations

$$\mu^{2}(n) = \sum_{d^{2}|n} \mu(d), \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) = \begin{cases} 1 & n = 1, \\ 0 & n > 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover the first O-term above may be absorbed by the second one if $0 < \alpha < 1/3$. Thus we are left with the evaluation of

$$T(x,k,r) := \sum_{n \leq x, \ n \equiv k \pmod{r}} a(n) \qquad (1 \leq k \leq x^{\varepsilon}, \ 1 \leq r \leq x),$$

and we seek an asymptotic formula for T(x, k, r) with the error term uniform in k and r. There are results in the literature on T(x, k, r) due to H.-E. RICHERT [11] and J. DUTTLINGER [2]. For (k, r) = 1 one can get by an elementary argument, uniformly for $1 \le r \le x$,

(3)
$$T(x,k,r) = B(r)x + O((rx)^{1/2+\varepsilon}), \qquad B(r) = O(1/r),$$

where B(r) is given explicitly by (5) and (6). For $1 \le k \le x^{\varepsilon}$, $1 \le r \le x$, (k, r) > 1 formula (5.2) of DUTTLINGER's paper implies that uniformly

(4)
$$T(x,k,r) = B(k,r)x + O((rx)^{1/2+\varepsilon}), \qquad B(k,r) = O(r^{\varepsilon-1}),$$

since in that case $d = d_1 d_2 \ll x^{\varepsilon}$ and (4) follows by the condition $\alpha(m) \mid d_1, \alpha(m) = \prod_{p \mid m} p$, so that one can majorize over squarefull numbers. Thus combining (3) and

(4) we have a formula for T(x, k, r) valid in all cases, where we set B(r) = B(k, r) if (k, r) = 1 for notational convenience. If r is bounded by a (relatively) small

power of x, then both RICHERT and DUTTLINGER obtain much sharper results for T(x, k, r), which involve the existence of two more main terms besides B(k, r)x. An obvious way to improve on the exponent 11/12 in (2) is to sharpen (3) and (4). We postpone the proof of (3) and carry on with the evaluation of S(x, k). We obtain

$$\begin{split} S(x,k) &= O(x^{1+\varepsilon-\alpha/2}) \\ &+ \sum_{s \leq x^{\alpha}, a(s)=k} \sum_{d \leq x^{\alpha/2}, (d,s)=1} \mu(d) \sum_{\delta \mid s} \mu(\delta) \left\{ xB(k,d^2\delta s) + O(x^{1/2+\varepsilon}d(\delta s)^{1/2}) \right\} \\ &= x \left\{ \sum_{s=1, a(s)=k} \sum_{d=1, (d,s)=1} \mu(d) \sum_{\delta \mid s} \mu(\delta) B(k,d^2\delta s) \right\} \\ &+ O(x^{1+\varepsilon-\alpha/2}) + O\left(x^{1/2+\varepsilon} \sum_{s \leq x^{\alpha}} s \sum_{d \leq x^{\alpha/2}} d\right) \\ &= xA(k) + O(x^{1+\varepsilon-\alpha/2}) + O(x^{(1+5\alpha)/2+\varepsilon}) = xA(k) + O(x^{11/12+\varepsilon}) \end{split}$$

for $\alpha = 1/6$ with

$$A(k) := \sum_{s=1, a(s)=k} \sum_{d=1, (d,s)=1} \mu(d) \sum_{\delta|s} \mu(\delta) B(k, d^2 \delta s).$$

By using $B(k,r) \ll r^{\varepsilon-1}$ in the relevant range it follows that

$$\sum_{n \le x} a(n+a(n)) = \sum_{k \le x^{\varepsilon}} S(x,k) = x \sum_{k \le x^{\varepsilon}} A(k) + O(x^{11/12+\varepsilon})$$
$$= x \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A(k) + O\left(x \sum_{k > x^{\varepsilon}} \sum_{s=1, a(s)=k} s^{\varepsilon-1}\right) + O(x^{11/12+\varepsilon})$$
$$= x \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A(k) + O(x^{11/12+\varepsilon}),$$

since a(s) = k implies $s \gg \exp(C_1 \log k / \log \log k)$ for some $C_1 > 0$, and $\sum_{s \le x} 1 \ll x^{1/2}$. This proves (2) with

$$C = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} A(k) > 0.$$

Finally it remains to sketch the proof of (3) for (k,r) = 1. Let $\chi(n)$ be a DIRICHLET character modulo r. If χ_0 is the principal character mod r, then

$$\sum_{n \le x} \chi_0(n) a(n) = \sum_{n \le x_+(n,r)=1} a(n) = \sum_{s \le x_+(s,r)=1} a(s) \sum_{q \le x/s_+(q,s)=1_+(q,r)=1} 1$$

=
$$\sum_{s \le x_+(s,r)=1} a(s) \left\{ \frac{6x}{\pi^2 s} \prod_{p \mid sr} \frac{1}{1+p^{-1}} + O\left(\left(\frac{x}{s}\right)^{1/2} x^{\varepsilon}\right) \right\}$$

=
$$\frac{6x}{\pi^2} \prod_{p \mid r} \frac{1}{1+p^{-1}} \prod_{p \nmid r} \left(1 + \frac{a(p^2)p^{-2} + a(p^3)p^{-3} + \cdots}{1+p^{-1}} \right) + O(x^{1/2+\varepsilon})$$

$$= 6\pi^{-2}C(r)x + O(x^{1/2+\varepsilon})$$

uniformly for $1 \le r \le x$, where we have set

(5)
$$C(r) := \prod_{p \mid r} \frac{1}{1 + p^{-1}} \prod_{p \nmid r} \left(1 + \frac{P(2)p^{-2} + P(3)p^{-3} + \cdots}{1 + p^{-1}} \right) = O(1).$$

If $\chi(n)$ is a non-principal character mod r, then

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{n \leq x} a(n)\chi(n) = \sum_{s \leq x} \chi(s)a(s) \sum_{q \leq x/s_+(q,s)=1} \chi(q) \\ &= \sum_{s \leq x} \chi(s)a(s) \sum_{d^2l \leq x/s_+(d,s)=1_+(l,s)=1} \mu(d)\chi(d^2l) \\ &= \sum_{s \leq x} \chi(s)a(s) \sum_{d \leq (x/s)^{1/2_+}(d,s)=1} \mu(d)\chi^2(d) \sum_{l \leq x/(d^2s)_+(l,s)=1} \chi(l) \\ &= \sum_{s \leq x} \chi(s)a(s) \sum_{d \leq (x/s)^{1/2_+}(d,s)=1} \mu(d)\chi^2(d) \sum_{\delta \mid s} \mu(\delta)\chi(\delta) \sum_{m \leq x/(d^2\delta s)} \chi(m). \end{split}$$

To estimate the innermost sum above we use the classical Pólya-Vinogradov inequality (see H. Davenport [1, Ch. 23]):

$$\sum_{M < n \le M+N} \chi(n) = O(r^{1/2} \log r) \qquad (\chi \neq \chi_0; \ M, N \ge 1).$$

This gives

$$\sum_{n \le x} a(n)\chi(n) \ll x^{1/2} \sum_{s \le x} a(s)d(s)s^{-1/2}r^{1/2}\log r \ll (xr)^{1/2+\varepsilon}.$$

Using then the orthogonality relations for characters (φ is Euler's function), namely

$$\frac{1}{\varphi(r)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{r}} \chi(n)\bar{\chi}(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & n \equiv k \pmod{r} \\ 0 & n \not\equiv k \pmod{r} \end{cases} \quad ((k,r)=1)$$

we obtain

$$T(x,k,r) = \frac{1}{\varphi(r)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{r}} \bar{\chi}(k) \left(\sum_{n \le x} \chi(n) a(n) \right)$$

= $\frac{6C(r)x}{\pi^2 \varphi(r)} + O(x^{1/2+\varepsilon}) + \frac{1}{\varphi(r)} \sum_{\chi \pmod{r}, \chi \ne \chi_0} \bar{\chi}(k) \left(\sum_{n \le x} \chi(n) a(n) \right)$
= $B(r)x + O\left((xr)^{1/2+\varepsilon}\right)$

uniformly in r, where

(6)
$$B(r) := \frac{6C(r)}{\pi^2 \varphi(r)} = \\ = \frac{6}{\pi^2 r} \prod_{p \mid r} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p} \right)^{-1} \prod_{p \nmid r} \left(1 + \frac{P(2)p^{-2} + P(3)p^{-3} + \cdots}{1 + p^{-1}} \right) \\ = O\left(\frac{1}{r} \prod_{p \mid r} \frac{1}{1 - p^{-2}} \right) = O\left(\frac{1}{r}\right).$$

This proves (3), and completes the proof of the Theorem.

REFERENCES

- 1. : Multiplicative Number Theory (2nd ed.), Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1980.
- Über die Anzahl Abelscher Gruppen gegebener Ordnung, J. Reine Angew. Math. 273 (1975), 61-76.
- The distribution of values of a certain class of arithmetic functions at consecutive integers. in: Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai 51, Elsevier/North-Holland, Amsterdam 1989, 45-91.
- 4. : On the iterates of the enumerating function of finite Abelian groups. Bull. Acad. Sci. Serbe Math., **99** 17 (1989), 13–22.
- Über die Anzahl der Abelschen Gruppen gegebener Ordnung und über ein verwandtes zahlentheoretisches Problem, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 7 (1935), 95-102.
- 6. : The distribution of values of the enumerating function of non-isomorphic Abelian groups of finite order, Arch. Math. **30** (1978), 374–379.
- 7. : On the number of Abelian groups of a given order and on certain related multiplicative functions. J. Number Theory 16 (1983), 119–137.
- 8. : Local densities over integers free of large prime factors. Quart. J. Math. (Oxford) (2) **37** (1986), 401-417.
- 9. : Die maximale ordnung der Anzahl der wesentlich verschiedenen Abelschen Gruppen n-ter Ordnung. Quart. J. Math. (Oxford) (2) **21** (1970), 273–275.

- Über die Anzahl Abelscher Gruppen gegebener Ordnung II. Math. Zeit. 58 (1953), 71-84.
- 12. : An iteration problem involving the divisor function. Acta Arith. 46 (1986), 215-225.

(Received May 11, 1992)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mining and Geology, University of Belgrade, Dušina 7, 11000 Belgrade, Yugoslavia

^{10. :} The distribution of a(n), Arch. Math. 57 (1991), 47–52.