

## CHARACTERISTIC AND MATCHING POLYNOMIALS OF SOME BIPARTITE GRAPHS

*Ivan Gutman*

We add a fixed number of vertices of degree 1 to each vertex from one part of a bipartite graph. We study characteristic, matching and some related polynomials for graphs obtained in this way.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a bipartite graph with  $a$  vertices  $v_1, \dots, v_a$  of one color and  $b$  vertices  $v_{a+1}, \dots, v_{a+b}$  of the other color. For the present consideration it is immaterial whether  $a \geq b$  or  $a \leq b$ .

Let  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  be two rooted (not necessarily bipartite) graphs. Then the graph product  $G[R_1, R_2]$  is obtained by identifying each of the vertices  $v_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, a$  of  $G$  with the root of a copy of  $R_1$  and by identifying each of the vertices  $v_i$ ,  $i = a + 1, \dots, a + b$  of  $G$  with the root of a copy of  $R_2$ .

The characteristic and the matching polynomials as well as the respective spectra of  $G[R_1, R_2]$  were examined in a few previous publications [2], [4], [5]. In particular, it was shown [4] that for  $\pi = \phi$  or  $\pi = \alpha$ ,

$$(1) \quad \pi(G[R_1, R_2]) = \left[ \frac{\pi(R_1)}{\pi(R_2)} \right]^{\frac{(a-b)}{2}} [\pi(R_1^*) \pi(R_2^*)]^{\frac{(a+b)}{2}} \cdot \pi \left( G, \left[ \pi(R_1) \pi(R_2) \pi(R_1^*)^{-1} \pi(R_2^*)^{-1} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \right),$$

where  $\phi(H) = \phi(H, x)$  and  $\alpha(H) = \alpha(H, x)$  stand for the characteristic and the matching polynomial of a graph  $H$ , respectively, and where  $R_i^*$  denotes the graph obtained by deleting the root from  $R_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

If  $R_1$  is the star with  $p + 1$  vertices rooted at the vertex of degree  $p$ , and  $R_2$  is the one-vertex graph, then we denote the graph product  $G[R_1, R_2]$  by  $G[p]$ . It is

clear that  $G[p]$  is obtained by attaching  $p$  new vertices of degree one to each vertex  $v_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, a$  of  $G$ ; hence  $G[p]$  has  $(a + 1)p + b$  vertices.

Formula (1) immediately furnishes

$$(2) \quad \pi(G[p]) = x^{pa-(a-b)}(x^2 - p)^{\frac{(a-b)}{2}} \pi(G, \sqrt{x^2 - p}); \quad \pi = \phi, \alpha.$$

In this paper we first point out a hitherto unnoticed property of  $\pi(G[p])$ ,  $\pi = \phi, \alpha$ . Then we demonstrate that the relation (1) remains valid if the symbol  $\pi$  is interpreted as a much more general graph polynomial.

The characteristic and the matching polynomial of the graph  $G$  can be written in the form

$$(3) \quad \pi(G) = \sum_{k=0}^a (-1)^k c_\pi(G, k) x^{a+b-2k}; \quad \pi = \phi, \alpha$$

where  $c_\pi(G, k) \geq 0$ . Bearing in mind eq. (2) we also have

$$(4) \quad \pi(G[p]) = x^{pa-(a-b)} \sum_{k=0}^a (-1)^k c_\pi(G[p], k) x^{2(a-k)}; \quad \pi = \phi, \alpha.$$

It is not difficult to see that  $c_\pi(G[p], k) > 0$  for  $0 \leq k \leq a$ ,  $\pi = \phi, \alpha$ .

The usual way in which the characteristic and the matching polynomials of a graph are defined can be found, for instance, in [1]. Here we put forward a less common recursive characterization of these graph polynomials which, however, is more appropriate for the present considerations.

**Definition 1.** *If  $H$  is a graph with  $n$  vertices,  $n \geq 0$ , and no edges then the matching polynomial of  $H$  is equal to  $x^n$ . If  $H$  possesses an edge, say  $e$  connecting the vertices  $u$  and  $v$ , then the matching polynomial of  $H$  conforms to the recursion relation*

$$(5) \quad \alpha(H) = \alpha(H - e) - \alpha(H - u - v).$$

**Definition 2.** *If  $H$  is an acyclic graph then its characteristic and matching polynomials coincide. If the graph  $H$  possesses circuits then the characteristic polynomial of  $H$  conforms to the recursion relation*

$$(6) \quad \phi(H) = \phi(H - e) - \phi(H - u - v) - 2 \sum_{j \in I(e)} \phi(H - C_j).$$

Here and later  $C_1, \dots, C_r$  are the circuits of the graph considered and  $C_j$ ,  $j \in I(e)$  are the circuits containing the edge  $e$ . Note that  $I(e) \subseteq \{1, \dots, r\}$  and that  $I(e)$  may be an empty set; in that latter case the summation term on the right-hand side of (6) vanishes.

Eqs. (5) and (6) provide a motivation for a generalization and unification of the concepts of matching and characteristic polynomials. We define a graph polynomial  $\mu(H) = \mu(H, x)$  as follows [3], [6].

**Definition 3.** *If  $H$  is an acyclic graph then its characteristic, matching and  $\mu$ -polynomials coincide. If the graph  $H$  possesses circuits then the  $\mu$ -polynomial of  $H$  conforms to the recursion relation*

$$(7) \quad \mu(H) = \mu(H - e) - \mu(H - u - v) - 2 \sum_{j \in I(e)} t_j \mu(H - C_j),$$

where  $t_j$  is a parameter associated with the circuit  $C_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . If  $I(e) = \emptyset$  then the summation term on the right-hand side of (7) is equal to zero.

Comparing eqs. (5)–(7) it is evident that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(H) &= \alpha(H), & \text{if } r = 0 & \text{ or if } t_1 = \dots = t_r = 0, \\ \mu(H) &= \phi(H), & \text{if } r = 0 & \text{ or if } t_1 = \dots = t_r = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and thus  $\mu(H)$  is a proper generalization of both the matching and the characteristic polynomials. Further, if  $H$  is a bipartite graph ( $H = G$ ), then its  $\mu$ -polynomial has the form (3),  $\pi = \mu$ . Note, however, that  $c_\mu(G, k)$  need not be non-negative – their signs depend on the actual values of the parameters  $t_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ .

The basic properties of the  $\mu$ -polynomial are determined in [3], [6].

## 2. A RESULT FOR THE GRAPH POLYNOMIALS OF $G[p]$ .

Let the coefficients of the characteristic and the matching polynomials of the graphs  $G$  and  $G[p]$  be determined by means of (3) and (4),  $\pi = \phi$  and  $\pi = \alpha$ . Then

$$(8) \quad c_\pi(G[p], a) = \sum_{m=0}^a c_\pi(G, m) p^{a-m}$$

and for  $1 \leq k \leq a$ ,

$$(9) \quad c_\pi(G[p], a - k) = (k!)^{-1} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial p^k} c_\pi(G[p], a).$$

Instead of the above statement 1 we demonstrate the validity of a somewhat more general result.

**Theorem 1.** *Eqs. (8) and (9) hold for  $\pi = \mu$ .*

**Proof.** In order to simplify the notation one may consider eq. (8) as a special case of eq. (9) for  $k = 0$ . Bearing in mind (4) we immediately see that Theorem 1 is equivalent to the claim that eq. (10) holds for  $\pi = \mu$ :

$$(10) \quad \pi(G[p]) = x^{pa-(a-b)} \sum_{k=0}^a (-1)^{a-k} \frac{x^{2k}}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial p^k} \sum_{m=0}^a c_\pi(G, m) p^{a-m}.$$

The above equality can be rewritten in a more compact form as

$$(11) \quad \pi(G[p]) = x^{pa-(a-b)} \sum_{k=0}^a (-1)^k \frac{x^{2k}}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial p^k} (\sqrt{-p})^{a-b} \pi(G, \sqrt{-p}).$$

We prove (11) by induction on the number of edges of the graph  $G$ . Denote the number of edges of  $G$  by  $E(G)$ .

If  $E(G) = 0$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(G) &= x^{a+b}, \\ \mu(G[p]) &= x^b (x^{p+1} - px^{p-1})^a. \end{aligned}$$

The fact that eqs. (8) and (9) are satisfied is readily verified. If  $E(G) = 1$  then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(G) &= x^{a+b-2}(x^2 - 1), \\ \mu(G[p]) &= x^{b-1} (x^{p+1} - px^{p-1})^{a-1} [x^{p+2} - (p+1)x^p], \end{aligned}$$

and eqs. (8) and (9) are satisfied again. Hence (11) holds for  $E(G) = 0$  and  $E(G) = 1$ .

Suppose now that  $E(G) = E_0$  and that (11) holds for all bipartite graphs with less than  $E_0$  edges. We show that from this assumption it follows that (11) holds for the graph  $G$  as well.

Denote by  $H_1 \cup H_2$  the graph whose components are  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  and recall that [6]

$$(12) \quad \mu(H_1 \cup H_2) = \mu(H_1) \mu(H_2).$$

If  $H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m$  are isomorphic graphs then  $H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \dots \cup H_m$  is denoted by  $mH_1$ .

As usual,  $K_n$  symbolizes the complete graph with  $n$  vertices and  $\bar{K}_n$  its complement, i.e. the  $n$ -vertex graph without edges.

Let  $e$  be an edge of  $G$  connecting the vertices  $u$  and  $v$  and belonging to the circuits  $C_j$ ,  $j \in I(e)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} G[p] - e &= \{G - e\}[p], \\ G[p] - u - v &= \bar{K}_p \cup \{G - u - v\}[p], \\ G[p] - C_j &= n_j \bar{K}_p \cup \{G - C_j\}[p], \end{aligned}$$

where  $2n_j$  is the size of the circuit  $C_j$ . Applying (7) we have

$$(13) \quad \mu(G[p]) = \mu(\{G - e\}[p]) - x^p \mu(\{G - u - v\}[p]) - 2 \sum_{j \in I(e)} x^{pn_j} t_j \mu(\{G - C_j\}[p]).$$

Since both  $G - e$ ,  $G - u - v$  and  $G - C_j$  have less edges than  $G$ , the induction hypothesis is applicable, viz.

$$(14) \quad \mu(\{G - e\}[p]) = x^{pa-(a-b)} \sum_{k=0}^a (-1)^k \frac{x^{2k}}{k!} \cdot \frac{\partial^k}{\partial p^k} (\sqrt{-p})^{a-b} \mu(G - e, \sqrt{-p}),$$

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(\{G - u - v\}[p]) &= x^{p(a-1)-(a-b)} \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} (-1)^k \frac{x^{2k}}{k!} \\ &\cdot \frac{\partial^k}{\partial p^k} (\sqrt{-p})^{a-b} \mu(G - u - v, \sqrt{-p}), \end{aligned}$$

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu(\{G - C_j\}[p]) &= x^{p(a-n_j)-(a-b)} \sum_{k=0}^{a-n_j} (-1)^k \frac{x^{2k}}{k!} \\ &\cdot \frac{\partial^k}{\partial p^k} (\sqrt{-p})^{a-b} \mu(G - C_j, \sqrt{-p}). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (14)–(16) back into (13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(G[p]) &= x^{pa-(a-b)} \sum_{k=0}^a (-1)^k \frac{x^{2k}}{k!} \frac{\partial^k}{\partial p^k} (\sqrt{-p})^{a-b} \cdot \mu(G - e, \sqrt{-p}) - \\ &- \mu(G - u - v, \sqrt{-p}) - 2 \sum_{j \in I(e)} t_j \mu(G - C_j, \sqrt{-p}), \end{aligned}$$

which by another application of (7) yields eq. (11) for  $\pi = \mu$ .

### 3. A GENERALIZATION OF (1)

**Theorem 2.** *Eq. (1) holds for  $\pi = \mu$ .*

Proof follows by induction on the number of edges of  $G$  and is fully analogous to the proof of Theorem 1. One has to take into account (7) and (12) as well as the identities

$$\begin{aligned} G[R_1, R_2] - e &= \{G - e\}[R_1, R_2], \\ G[R_1, R_2] - u - v &= R_1^* \cup R_2^* \cup \{G - u - v\}[R_1, R_2], \\ G[R_1, R_2] - C_j &= n_j R_1^* \cup n_j R_2^* \cup \{G - C_j\}[R_1, R_2]. \end{aligned}$$

### REFERENCES

1. D. CVETKOVIĆ, M. DOOB, I. GUTMAN, A. TORGAŠEV: *Recent results in the Theory of Graph Spectra*. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.
2. C. D. GODSIL, B. D. MCKAY: *A new graph product and its spectrum*. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., **18** (1978), 21-28.

3. I. GUTMAN: *Some relations for the graph polynomials*. Publ. Inst. Math., (Beograd), **39** (1986), 55-62.
4. I. GUTMAN: *Spectral properties of some graphs derived from bipartite graphs*. Match **8** (1980), 291-314.
5. I. GUTMAN, O. E. POLANSKY: *On the matching polynomial of the graph  $G\{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n\}$* . Match **8** (1980), 315-322.
6. I. GUTMAN, O. E. POLANSKY: *Cyclic conjugation and the Hückel molecular orbital model*. Theor. Chim. Acta, **60** (1981), 203-226.

Faculty of Science,  
University of Kragujevac,  
P. O. Box 60, 34000 Kragujevac,  
Yugoslavia

(Received December 18, 1989)