

714. NOTES ON CONVEX FUNCTIONS IV: ON HADAMARD'S  
 INEQUALITIES FOR WEIGHTED ARITHMETIC MEANS\*

*P. M. Vasić, I. B. Lacković, D. M. Maksimović*

In the present paper we give a necessary and sufficient conditions such that the Hadamard's inequalities are valid for an arbitrary arithmetic mean.

1. Let us suppose that  $p_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) are positive constants and let

$$(1) \quad A = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i},$$

where  $x_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) are given real numbers. Let us suppose further that the function  $x \mapsto f(x)$  is convex on the segment  $[m, M]$  where we have

$$(2) \quad m = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{x_i\}, \quad M = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{x_i\}.$$

In the present paper we will investigate for which real values of  $y \neq 0$  the following inequalities

$$(3) \quad f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2y} \int_{A-y}^{A+y} f(t) dt \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i}$$

are valid for given values of the weights  $p_i$  and real numbers  $x_i$ .

The proposed problem was considered for  $n=2$  in the following papers [1], [2], [3], [4] and [5]. Namely, in papers [1] and [2] we have proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Suppose that  $p > 0$  and  $q > 0$  are given constants and suppose that  $f$  is two times differentiable convex function on the segment  $[a, b]$ . Then the inequalities*

$$(4) \quad f\left(\frac{pa+qb}{p+q}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2y} \int_{A-y}^{A+y} f(t) dt \leq \frac{pf(a)+qf(b)}{p+q}$$

\* Presented June 23, 1980 by D. S. MITRINOVIĆ.

are valid, where

$$(5) \quad A = \frac{pa+qb}{p+q},$$

if and only if  $y$  satisfies the following condition

$$(6) \quad 0 < |y| \leq \frac{b-a}{p+q} \min(p, q).$$

In paper [3] A. LUPAŞ gave a proof of theorem 1 based on some properties of positive linear operators. The proof of A. LUPAŞ does not require the differentiability of the above function  $f$ .

In paper [4] the proof of theorem 1 is given based on approximations of continuous convex functions by polygonal lines.

Paper [5] contains a very short proof of theorem 1 where the only supposition is that the function  $f$  is convex on  $[a, b]$ .

If we have  $a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < \dots < a_{2n}$  and if the function  $f'$  is increasing function then the following inequalities

$$(7) \quad f\left(\frac{a_1+a_2+\dots+a_{2n}}{2n}\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{a_{2i}-a_{2i-1}} \int_{a_{2i-1}}^{a_{2i}} f(t) dt$$

$$\leq \frac{f(a_1)+f(a_2)+\dots+f(a_{2n})}{2n}$$

are valid.

Inequalities (7) are considered in the paper [6].

2. In this part of the present paper we will give a necessary and sufficient conditions for validity of the inequalities (3). First of all we will prove the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Suppose that  $p_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) are positive constants and that  $x_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, n$ ) are given real numbers. The inequality

$$(8) \quad f\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2y} \int_{A-y}^{A+y} f(t) dt$$

holds for every convex function  $f$ , defined on  $[m, M]$  where  $m$  and  $M$  are given by (2), if and only if

$$(9) \quad 0 < |y| \leq \min(A-m, M-A),$$

where  $A$  is defined by (1).

**Proof.** We have supposed that the function  $f$  is convex on  $[m, M]$ . This means that the same function satisfies the inequality

$$f(A) = f\left(\frac{(A+t) + (A-t)}{2}\right) \leq \frac{f(A+t) + f(A-t)}{2}$$

is valid if and only if  $|t| \leq \min(A - m, M - A)$ . Therefrom it follows that we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(A) &= \frac{1}{y} \int_0^y f(A) dt \leq \frac{1}{y} \int_0^y \frac{f(A+t) + f(A-t)}{2} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2y} \left( \int_A^{A+y} f(t) dt - \int_A^{A-y} f(t) dt \right) = \frac{1}{2y} \int_{A-y}^{A+y} f(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where we have take  $y > 0$ . This proves the inequality (8) for  $y > 0$ .

But, on the other side the function  $y \mapsto F(y)$ , defined by

$$F(y) = \frac{1}{2y} \int_{A-y}^{A+y} f(t) dt$$

is even, so that the inequality (8) holds for all  $y$  satisfying (9). This completes the proof of lemma 1.

**Lemma 2.** Let us suppose that  $p_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$  are positive constants and let  $x_i (i = 1, \dots, n)$  be given real numbers. The inequality

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{2y} \int_{A-y}^{A+y} f(t) dt \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i f(x_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i}$$

holds for every function  $f$  convex on  $[m, M]$ , where  $m$  and  $M$  are given by (2), if and only if

$$(11) \quad 0 < |y| \leq K = \max_{I \subset I_n} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i - \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus I} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i} \min \left\{ \sum_{i \in I} p_i, \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus I} p_i \right\} \right\}$$

where  $A$  is given by (1) and where we have  $I_n = \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

**Proof.** (i) The condition (11) is sufficient.

Let  $I_0$  be one of the subsets of the set  $I_n$  for which we have:

$$K = \frac{\sum_{i \in I_0} p_i x_i - \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus I_0} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i} \min \left\{ \sum_{i \in I_0} p_i, \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus I_0} p_i \right\},$$

where  $K$  is define by (11). We will introduce the following denotations.

$$(12) \quad a = \frac{\sum_{i \in I_n \setminus I_0} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i \in I_n \setminus I_0} p_i}, \quad b = \frac{\sum_{i \in I_0} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i \in I_0} p_i}, \quad p = \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus I_0} p_i, \quad q = \sum_{i \in I_0} p_i.$$

Since the integral which appears in (10) is even function of the argument  $y$ , we will consider only positive values of  $y$  which satisfy the condition (11). Those values of  $y$  are of the form  $y = \frac{b-a}{p+q} z$  where we have  $0 < z \leq \min\{p, q\}$  and where  $a, b, p$  and  $q$  are defined by (12). By using the substitution  $x = ta + (1-t)b$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A-y}^{A+y} f(x) dx &= (b-a) \int_{\frac{p-z}{p+q}}^{\frac{p+z}{p+q}} f(ta + (1-t)b) dt \\ &\leq (b-a) \int_{\frac{p-z}{p+q}}^{\frac{p+z}{p+q}} (tf(a) + (1-t)f(b)) dt \\ &= 2 \frac{b-a}{p+q} z \frac{pf(a) + qf(b)}{p+q} = 2y \frac{pf(a) + qf(b)}{p+q}, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that the condition (11) is sufficient.

(ii) The condition (11) is necessary.

Let us suppose that  $y > 0$  is given in such a way that the inequality (10) is valid, for every function  $f$  convex on  $[m, M]$ . Let us prove that then it must be  $y \leq K$ . Moreover, we will consider only those values of  $y$  for which  $[A-y, A+y] \subset [m, M]$ . Suppose that  $-y \leq c \leq A+y$  and that the function  $f_0$  is defined by  $f_0(t) = |t-c|$ . Since, by our suppositions the inequality (10) holds for an arbitrary convex function  $f$ , the same inequality is valid also for the function  $f_0$  just defined. Define the set  $J \subset I_n$  in the following way  $J = \{i \in I_n \mid x_i \leq c\}$ .

Then immediately follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2y} \int_{A-y}^{A+y} |t-c| dt &= \frac{1}{2y} \left( \int_{A-y}^c (c-t) dt + \int_c^{A+y} (t-c) dt \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2y} \left( \left( ct - \frac{t^2}{2} \right) \Big|_{A-y}^c + \left( \frac{t^2}{2} - ct \right) \Big|_c^{A+y} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2y} \left( c^2 - Ac + cy - \frac{1}{2} (c^2 - A^2 + 2Ay - y^2) \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \frac{1}{2} \left( A^2 + 2Ay + y^2 - c^2 \right) - cA - cy + c^2 \\
 & = \frac{1}{2y} (2c^2 - 2Ac - c^2 + A^2 + y^2) = \frac{1}{2y} (y^2 + A^2 - 2Ac + c^2) \\
 & \leq \frac{\sum_{i \in J} p_i (c - x_i) + \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus J} p_i (x_i - c)}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i} \\
 & = c \frac{\sum_{i \in J} p_i - \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus J} p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i} + \frac{\sum_{i \in I_n \setminus J} p_i x_i - \sum_{i \in J} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i} = Uc + V,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$U = \frac{\sum_{i \in J} p_i - \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus J} p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i}, \quad V = \frac{\sum_{i \in I_n \setminus J} p_i x_i - \sum_{i \in J} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i}.$$

Finally we have  $\frac{1}{2y} (y^2 + A^2 - 2Ac + c^2) \leq Uc + V$ , i. e. we obtain

$$(13) \quad y^2 + A^2 - 2Ac + c^2 - 2Uyc - 2Vy = c^2 - 2c(A + Uy) + (y^2 + A^2 - 2Vy) \leq 0.$$

The condition, that the inequality is valid for all  $c \in [A - y, A + y]$ , is equivalent with the condition that (13) is valid for  $c = A - y$  and  $c = A + y$ . The substitution  $c = A + y$  and  $c = A - y$  in relation (13) leads us to the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A^2 \mp 2Ay + y^2 + A^2 - 2yV + y^2 - 2A^2 - 2AUy \pm 2Ay \pm 2Uy^2 \\
 & = 2y(y(1 \pm U) - AU - V) \leq 0
 \end{aligned}$$

which on the basis of the supposition that  $y$  is positive gives

$$(14) \quad y \leq \min \left( \frac{AU + V}{1 + U}, \frac{AU + V}{1 - U} \right).$$

Denote  $P(I) = \sum_{i \in I} p_i$  and  $X(I) = \sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i$  where  $I \subset I_n$ . Using the definitions of  $A$ ,  $U$  and  $V$  from (14) we obtain

$$y \leq \min \left\{ \frac{\frac{X(I_n)}{P(I_n)} \frac{P(J) - (P(I_n) - P(J))}{P(I_n)} + \frac{(X(I_n) - X(J)) - X(J)}{P(I_n)}}{1 \pm \frac{P(J) - (P(I_n) - P(J))}{P(I_n)}} \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \min \left\{ \frac{X(I_n)(P(J) - (P(I_n) - P(J))) + P(I_n)((X(I_n) - X(J)) - X(J))}{P(I_n)(P(I_n) \pm (P(J) - (P(I_n) - P(J))))} \right\} \\
&= \min \left\{ \frac{X(I_n)(2P(J) - P(I_n)) + P(I_n)(X(I_n) - 2X(J))}{2P(J)P(I_n)}, \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{X(I_n)(P(I_n) - 2(P(I_n) - P(J))) + P(I_n)(2(X(I_n) - X(J)) - X(I_n))}{2P(I_n)(P(I_n) - P(J))} \right\} \\
&= \min \left\{ \frac{X(I_n) - X(J)}{P(I_n) - P(J)} - \frac{X(I_n)}{P(I_n)}, \frac{X(I_n)}{P(I_n)} - \frac{X(J)}{P(J)} \right\} \\
&= \min \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i \in I_n \setminus J} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i \in I_n \setminus J} p_i} - A, \quad A - \frac{\sum_{i \in J} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i \in J} p_i} \right\} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{i \in I_n \setminus J} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i \in I_n \setminus J} p_i} - \frac{\sum_{i \in J} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i \in J} p_i} \\
&= \frac{\sum_{i \in I_n \setminus J} p_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n p_i} \min \left( \sum_{i \in J} p_i, \sum_{i \in I_n \setminus J} p_i \right) \\
&\leq \max \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i \in I} p_i} - \frac{\sum_{i \in I_n \setminus I} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i \in I_n \setminus I} p_i}, \frac{\sum_{i \in I} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i \in I} p_i} - \frac{\sum_{i \in I_n \setminus I} p_i x_i}{\sum_{i \in I_n \setminus I} p_i} \right\} = K,
\end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma.

Using our lemmas 1 and 2 we directly obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 2.** *Let us suppose that the function  $f$  is convex on  $[m, M]$ , where  $m$  and  $M$  are given by (2). Then the inequalities (3) are valid for every such a function if and only if the condition (11) is satisfied.*

The proof of theorem 2 can also be obtained by using the method of positive linear operators on a cone of convex functions.

**REMARK.** It can be easily shown that there exists at least one  $I \subset I_n$  such that the maximal value which appears in (11) is strictly positive.

REFERENCES

1. P. M. VASIĆ, I. B. LACKOVIĆ: *On an inequality for convex functions*. These Publications № 461 — № 497 (1974), 63—66.
2. P. M. VASIĆ, I. B. LACKOVIĆ: *Some complements to the paper: "On an inequality for convex functions"*. Ibid. № 544—№ 576 (1976), 59—62.
3. A. LUPAŞ: *A generalizations of Hadamard's inequalities for convex functions*. Ibid. № 544—№ 576 (1976), 115—121.
4. P. M. VASIĆ, I. B. LACKOVIĆ: *Notes on convex functions I: A new proof of Hadamard's inequalities* Ibid. № 577—№ 598 (1977), 21—24.
5. I. B. LACKOVIĆ, M. S. STANKOVIĆ: *On Hadamard's integral inequalities for convex functions*. Ibid. № 412—№ 460 (1973), 89—92.
6. I. B. LACKOVIĆ: *O nekim nejednakostima za konveksne funkcije*. Matematička biblioteka, sv. 42. Beograd 1959, pp. 138—141.

Zavod za primenjenu matematiku  
Elektrotehnički fakultet  
11000 Beograd, Jugoslavija

NOTE O KONVEKSNIM FUNKCIJAMA IV: O HADAMARDOVIM NEJEDNAKOSTIMA  
ZA TEŽINSKE ARITMETIČKE SREDINE

*P. M. Vasić, I. B. Lacković, D. M. Maksimović*

U radu su određeni potrebni i dovoljni uslovi pod kojima važe nejednakosti (3) za proizvoljnu konveksnu funkciju definisanu na  $[m, M]$  gde su  $m$  i  $M$  definisani sa (2). Potrebni i dovoljni uslovi su dati sa (11). Osnovni rezultat rada je sadržan u teoremi 2.